

1999 Private Property Congressional Vote Index

February 2000 Eleventh Annual Edition

106th Congress — First Session



The League of Private Property Voters

1999 Private Property Congressional Vote Index

Eleventh Annual Private Property Congressional Vote Index

February 2000

PO BOX 423 • BATTLE GROUND WA 98604 • PHONE 360-687-2471 • FAX 360-687-2973 • EMAIL lppv@pacifier.com

INTRODUCTION

Dear Friends,

This is the eleventh annual edition of the Private Property Congressional Vote Index. The League of Private Property Voters (LPPV) has published the Index each year since 1990.

LPPV is a coalition of more than 600 grassroots organizations that advocate the rights of property owners, including farmers, ranchers, woodlot owners, residents of rural communities, owners of recreational property, and inholders of private property within and adjacent to federal lands. A partial list of sponsors for the 1999 Index appears on the back page.

Votes for this year's index were chosen from discussions among approximately twenty leaders of the property rights movement nationwide. These votes are 1999's most important snapshots demonstrating protection of the constitutional rights of property owners against a powerful and overbearing federal government. They also show support for recreational and commercial access to federal lands, upon which many rural communities depend and all Americans share.

We encourage readers to examine the voting records of their Senators and Congressmen. Please thank those who stood up for us, and educate the rest.

· The Editors

CHAMPIONS

A Champion is a score of over 75% on the LPPV Index.

Senate - The Senate as a whole was supportive of property owners in 1999. 43 of 55 Senate Republicans scored over 75%, as did three Democrats, Senators Breaux (D-LA), Byrd (D-WV), and Inouye (D-HI). Byrd also received a special Eloquence Award for his floor speech on November 18 skewering "head-in-the-clouds" environmentalists.

House - The House was less favorable to property owners than the Senate. There were 152 representatives named Champions, including 144 Republicans and eight Democrats.

ENEMIES

An enemy of property rights is a score below 25% on the LPPV Index.

Senate - Only 29 Senators were named Enemies of property rights. This included 27 of 45 Senate Democrats, and two Republicans, Senators Jeffords (R-VT) and McCain (R-AZ). Presidential candidate McCain scored lower than all Arizona members of either party in the Senate and House, both because of missing votes and because of a mixed voting record when he showed up.

House - There were 157 House members named Enemies, including 151 Democrats and six Republicans.

The **1999 Private Property Congressional Vote Index** is created and published by **The League of Private Property Voters**, PO Box 423, Battle Ground, Washington 98604; phone (360) 687-2471; fax (360) 687-2973; E-mail *lppv@pacifier.com* © 1999 LPPV. Permission is hereby given to reprint this Index, in full or in part, provided credit is given to LPPV. Editors: Chuck Cushman and Mike Hardiman.

A DAGGER AIMED AT THE HEART OF PRIVATE PROPERTY RIGHTS:

THE CONSERVATION AND REINVESTMENT ACT (CARA) HR 701, S 25

CARA is the second major effort in recent years to take off-budget and place into land acquition trust funds the royalties generated from Outer Continental Shelf (OCS) oil production. These trust funds would give federal and state land agencies automatic funding while avoiding traditional congressional review. It appears to be heading for the same fate as another similar attempt, the American Heritage Trust Act (AHTA), proposed in 1989.

AHTA had tremendous support from the government agencies that stood to gain guaranteed millions of dollars annually from the bill. Also anxious to feed at the trough were the "non-profit" land trusts, which could turn millions in profits each year by selling property at inflated prices to state and federal entities flush with land acquisition cash.

However, the bill was stopped by a combination of institutional objections from congressional appropriators and a growing private property rights movement. It was during the 1989 AHTA battle that we realized a private property based scorecard was necessary, and in 1990 LPPV was created.

As of February 2000, there have been no votes yet on the floor of either house of Congress on CARA. On the House side, it has been approved by one committee but faces scrutiny by another. In the Senate, it has remained bottled up so far, and has not yet moved out of its original reference committee.

This legislation is so vast and so threatening that we decided to break with the usual procedure of requiring a floor vote before "scoring" a bill. Members will be scored with a negative, anti-private property position if they have cosponsored in the House either HR 701 (CARA) or HR 798, similar legislation which has been combined with HR 701. In the Senate, cosponsors of S 25 (CARA) and S 446, similar to S 25, will be scored negatively.

Below is an op-ed that has been published in numerous outlets across the country. It describes CARA and the disgraceful pork-barrel driven sellout in which certain members are engaged. It is followed by a few special awards earned in the debate over CARA and the future of private property rights versus government power in the United States.

MONEY - THAT'S WHAT I WANT

by Chuck Cushman Chairman, League of Private Property Voters

Reproduction permitted with acknowledgement.

"The best things in life are free, But you can keep 'em for the birds and bees, Now give me money, that's what I want."

This Motown hit made famous by the Beatles is the theme song for HR 701/ S 25, the Conservation and Reinvestment Act (CARA). Fiscal responsibility, private property rights and environmental protection are all being overriden by old fashioned pork barrel politics.

CARA creates a three billion dollar annual trust fund taken from revenue generated by offshore oil production, called outer continental shelf (OCS) royalties. OCS royalties were established many years ago, and are supposed to be used to pay for damage caused to marine life, oceans and estuaries by oil exploration and extraction activities.

However, in order to gain broader support for CARA, the fund is being raided to accommodate a laundry list of constituencies. Under CARA, all fifty states are showered with cash, and for purposes completely unrelated to environmental mitigation. These include building roads and ports, grants to Indian tribes and preserving Civil War battlefields. It seems that every special interest group in Washington has been cut in on the deal, from

the National Association of Realtors to Major League Baseball, both of whom support the bill!

Veteran Congressmen Don Young (R-Alaska), Billy Tauzin (R-Louisiana) and George Miller (D-California) each abandoned long held beliefs in exchange for cold, hard cash by joining together to cosponsor this monstrosity. Young the hunter, Tauzin the property rights advocate and Miller the environmentalist created a trust fund that will take land from sportsmen, trample private property rights and ignore the environmental goals for which OCS was established.

Under the bill, Young directs \$166 million annually to Alaska, a state with only 614,000 residents. That's a subsidy of \$272 per person, per year. Tauzin racks up \$313 million annually for Louisiana, a huge benefit for one of the poorest states in the country. And Miller tweaked the funding formula to disproportionately benefit localities within his congressional district.

Here is what happened at the recent House Resources Committee meeting, where the bill was approved.

CARA guarantees nearly one billion dollars annually for land purchases. Animal rights activists intend to use these funds to target for acquisition privately owned hunt clubs, woodlots and other areas owned or leased by sportsmen, and then eliminate all consumptive use of wildlife. This just occurred in upstate New York, where 139,000 acres of timberland had been leased out to hunters for over one hundred years. It was purchased by the state government, and hunting and snowmobiling were immediately banned.

Don Young argued against an amendment that would have assured no net loss of land permitted to be used for hunting or trapping. For Young, who is a member of the National Trappers Association, it was a tough spot to be in. He angrily refused to even allow a roll call vote on the amendment. But selling out sportsmen was worth it for a guaranteed annual fiscal pipeline from Washington, DC to Alaska.

CARA allocates to state governments up to \$450 million per year which can be used to condemnland and force people off their property. Billy Tauzin led the charge against any amendments to protect private property, including an amendment to prohibit condemnation. Not one acre of land anywhere is safe from a bureaucrat's whim under this bill.

He also opposed an amendment which would have protected property owners adjacent to federal lands from losing use of their property due to regulatory "buffer zones" that are frequently declared around parks and refuges. Tauzin had actually sponsored an identical amendment during debate over the Desert Protection Act in 1994. This time around, he decided that pork barrel spending overrode principles.

Most of us have visited national parks and seen the poor condition many facilities are in, such as bathrooms, pathways, benches and employee housing. CARA dedicates over \$400 million per year for additional federal land acquisition, and yet does nothing to address the severe maintenance backlog in our parks. The federal government should not be buying up more land when it cannot handle what it already owns.

Congressman Peter DeFazio (D-Oregon) pointed out that CARA will create a broad constituency with a very strong incentive to increase offshore oil drilling and the revenue that flows from it. Environmentalists strongly oppose this kind of incentive. But George Miller shut him down before he could even propose an amendment. During last minute negotiations, Miller inserted a narrowly drawn subsection in the bill to treat certain counties that have oil refineries more favorably under the bill's revenue distribution formula. Those counties include Contra Costa and Solano in California, within which is located Miller's congressional district.

After the committee markup, Congressmen Tom Udall (D-New Mexico) and Grace Napolitano (D-California) held a press conference opposing oil drilling in ANWR, the Alaska National Wildlife Refuge. Miller has

long been an opponent of ANWR drilling, but was strangely absent this time. Perhaps he has lost interest in the issue, now that he has carved out his piece of the pork barrel money pie.

HR 701 is a threat to both private property rights and the environment, and is fiscally irresponsible. This gravy train is so long you can't see the caboose from the engine!

PROFILES IN COURAGE AWARDS

For voting against CARA, the three billion dollar annual land acquisition trust fund, on November 10, 1999 at the markup in the House Resources Committee:

Representatives Ken Calvert (R-CA), Helen Chenoweth-Hage (R-ID), Barbara Cubin (R-WY), John Doolittle (R-CA), Elton Gallegly (R-CA), Jim Gibbons (R-NV), Rick Hill (R-MT), Richard Pombo (R-CA), Bob Schaffer (R-CO), Mike Simpson (R-ID), Mac Thornberry (R-TX), and Greg Walden (R-OR).

Although Representative Peter DeFazio (D-OR) voted in favor of CARA, he was the only member of the Resources Committee to point out, at a public markup in front of a room full of people, that Ranking Member George Miller is making a deal with the devil. Miller added an amendment to CARA that will pile millions in pork barrel spending into his district, in exchange for creating tremendous incentives to increase offshore oil drilling.

PORKY PIG AWARDS

In honor of selling out their respective constituencies for cold hard cash from CARA, these three porkers will each receive an official Warner Brothers Porky Pig bean bag doll which when squeezed calls out "Th - th - th - th - that's all, folks!"

Representative Don Young (R-AK) for selling out hunters and trappers.

Representative Billy Tauzin (R-LA) for selling out land owners.

Representative George Miller (D - CA) for selling out environmentalists.

ELOQUENCE AWARD

Senator Robert Byrd (D-WV). Senator Byrd doesn't need anyone to tell him he is one of the true silver-tongued orators of our age. But we're telling him anyway. On November 18, 1999 he delivered a magnificent speech describing as only he can the lies, hypocritical behavior and cultural elitism of the environmental movement. The full text is available on pages S14781 through S14785 of the Congressional Record. Here are excerpts:

"This amendment puts into place in West Virginia the tougher environmental standards prescribed by the very MOU's that this administration's own EPA helped to negotiate. But you certainly would not know that from all of the frothing at the mouth by people who either have no idea what they are talking about, or who, for some reason, are deliberately trying to mislead the people of this country.

"These head in the clouds individuals peddle dreams of an idyllic life among old growth trees, but they seem to be ignorant of the fact that without the mines, jobs will disappear, the tables will go bare, the cupboards will be empty, schools will not have the revenue to teach the children, and towns will not have the income to provide even basics. But what do they care? They will have already thrown down their placards and their banners and gone off somewhere else.

"When the picket signs are gone, when the editorials in the big city papers are lining bird cages, the people of the small mining communities will be left. A new economic base cannot spring from the ocean foam. It cannot emanate from the brain of Jove, like Minerva, fully clothed and in armor."

The Geague of Private Property (Volens Senator

Slade Gorton

of Private Property Rights CHAMPTON

1999 Private Property Congressional Oote Index In the






The Geograph of Mrivate Property (Charles Robb Senator

as an

of Private Property Rights eNeMY

In recognition of achieving 11% on the 1999 Private Property Congressional Vote Index





UNITED STATES SENATE

The votes listed on the scorecard show how each Senator supported (+) or opposed (-) the League of Private Property Voters position. A description of each vote is listed below.

You will gain the greatest benefit by first looking up your Senator to see what his or her private property score was on the scorecard. Then read each vote description. The League's private property position listed near the top of the scorecard shows how we believe your Senator should have voted on each issue. Check to see whether your Senator supported (+) or opposed (-) the League's private property position.

US SENATE VOTES

SENATE VOTE 1 - S 544: Fiscal 1999 Supplemental Spending - Glacier Bay Fishing

March 23, 1999 - Reid, D-Nev., motion to table (kill) the Murkowski, R-Alaska, amendment that would prohibit the Interior Department from using funds to restrict commercial fishing or other marine activities in Glacier Bay National Park until Alaska's legal claim to ownership of affected areas is resolved. The motion would negatively impact a significant part of Alaska's fishing business, and with no demonstrated environmental benefit, hurting small businesses and rural communities. Motion was defeated 40-59: R 6-48; D 34-11; I 0-0. **LPPV position: OPPOSE.**

SENATE VOTE 2 - HR 2466: Fiscal 2000 Interior Appropriations - Mining Waste Disposal

July 27, 1999 - Stevens, R-Alaska, motion to table (kill) the Murray, D-Wash., amendment that would remove bill language prohibiting implementation of a 1997 Interior Department ruling limiting mining waste disposal to a single, five-acre site for each mining operation. Unlike the House, the Senate chose not to place an arbitrary acreage limitation on mining waste regardless of mine size. The motion allows existing environmental regulation within mining laws to work their course, giving certainty to property owners with patented land. Motion passed 55-41: R 44-9; D 10-32; I 1-0. **LPPV position: SUPPORT.**

SENATE VOTE 3 - HR 2466: Fiscal 2000 Interior Appropriations - Resource Management Plans

Sept. 9, 1999 - Robb, D-Va., amendment to strike language in the bill that would give discretion to the secretaries of Agriculture and Interior as to whether new information concerning wildlife should be collected before revising resource management plans for national forests and Bureau of Land Management properties. The amendment would tie the hands of the Agriculture and Interior Secretaries by eliminating certain management flexibility when preparing resource management plans. It would have negatively effected private property inholders within national forests and other federal lands. Rejected 45-52: R 4-47; D 41-4; I 0-1. **LPPV position: OPPOSE.**

SENATE VOTE 4 - HR 2466: Fiscal 2000 Interior Appropriations - Trapping

Sept. 9, 1999 - Stevens, R-Alaska, motion to table (kill) the Torricelli, D-N.J., amendment that would prevent the use of jawed leghold traps or neck snares in any part of the National Wildlife Refuge System, except for research, subsistence, conservation or facilities protection purposes. The Senate differed from the House by choosing common sense over emotional silliness, allowing continued use of leghold traps within National Wildlife Refuges. The traps are a non-polluting low tech method of predator control used by the National Audubon Society and private land owners. Motion agreed to 64-32: R 47-4; D 17-27; I 0-1. LPPV position: SUPPORT.

SENATE VOTE 5 - HR 2466: Fiscal 2000 Interior Appropriations - Grazing Permits

Sept. 9, 1999 - Domenici, R-N.M., motion to table (kill) the Durbin, D-Ill., amendment to replace bill language that would require the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) to renew expiring grazing permits under the same terms and conditions as the old permit, with provisions to allow the BLM to modify conditions of grazing permits when they are up for renewal. The motion would assure renewal of grazing permits for private ranches leasing federal lands, while allowing for modification of permits. Motion agreed to 58-37: R 46-4; D 11-33; I 1-0. **LPPV position: SUPPORT.**

SENATE VOTE 6 - HR 2466: Fiscal 2000 Interior Appropriations - Forest Service Programs

Sept. 14, 1999 - Craig, R-Idaho, motion to table (kill) the Bryan, D-Nev., amendment that would reduce funding for timber sales management and logging road construction by the Forest Service, redirecting the funds for road maintenance, wildlife and fisheries habitat management and threatened and endangered species habitat management. The motion would maintain funds for timber sale management, which includes roads used for recreational access to federal lands and access to private property inholdings. Motion agreed to 54-43: R 45-7; D 8-36; I 1-0. **LPPV position: SUPPORT.**

SENATE VOTE 7 - HR 2466: Fiscal 2000 Interior Appropriations - Oil Royalty Valuation System

Sept. 23, 1999 - Hutchison, R-Texas, amendment that would prevent the Minerals Management Service from implementing a proposed new oil royalty valuation system for drilling on federal lands until Sept. 30, 2000. The amendment temporarily prevents unreasonable new regulations from taking

effect until they can be contested by leaseholders. Adopted 51-47: R 45-7; D 5-40; I 1-0. **LPPV position: SUPPORT.**

SENATE VOTE 8 - HJRES 82: Fiscal 2000 Continuing Appropriations - Coal Mining Practices

Nov. 18, 1999 - Byrd, D-W.Va., amendment that would impose a two-year moratorium on an Oct. 20, 1999, federal court ruling challenging the legality of certain coal mining practices. During the moratorium, mountain mining would proceed under a memorandum of understanding between the Environmental Protection Agency, Interior Department and U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. The amendment temporarily overrides a court ruling which overturned an EPA brokered Memorandum of Understanding allowing coal mining under strict environmental controls. See Senator Byrd's "Eloquence Award" in introductory section. Adopted 56-33: R 39-8; D 17-25; I 0-0. LPPV position: SUPPORT.

Cosponsorship of CARA, S 25 and S 446, the Conservation and Reinvestiment Act.

CARA is an unprecedented land grab. It is a \$3 billion annual land acquisition "pork barrel" trust fund. See separate section (page 3) titled CARA: A DAGGER AIMED AT THE HEART OF PRIVATE PROPERTY RIGHTS. **LPPV**



SENATE SCORECARD

+ Supported Private - Opposed Private I ? Did not vote (coun I Ineligible to vote a	Property position Property position Its as negative)	FISHING REGLACIE	HR 2466 MINING IS	MASTE MANAGENESOU	HR 2466 TRAS	GRA	O HR 2466 FORT	HR 2466 OIL BE	ON SYSTEM SZ CO.	COSPONSORSHIP
SENATOR L	% AGREED ALL VOTES PPV POSITION:	N L S 544 N FISHING	X THR 246E	Z & HR 2466 MANAGE	4 + HR 2466	9978 HK 5466	A 9 HR 246	1 HR 2466 VALUAY	A 8 HJRES PRACTI	N 6 8.25 & V
Alabama Shelby (R) Sessions, J. (R)	100% 88%	+++	+ +	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+
Alaska Stevens (R) Murkowski (R)	100% 55%	++	+++	+ ?	+ ?	+ ?	+++	+++	+++	+
Arizona McCain (R) Kyl (R)	22% 100%	++	- +	?	?	?	?	?	?	+++
Arkansas Hutchinson, T. (F Lincoln (D)	88% 66%	++	+++	+++	++	+	+++	+++	+	- -
California Feinstein (D) Boxer (D)	11% 0%	- -	-	-	- -	+	- -	- -	?	-
Colorado Campbell, B. (R) Allard (R)	88% 100%	+++	++	+++	++	+++	+++	+++	+++	- +
Connecticut Dodd (D) Lieberman (D)	33% 22%	- -	-	- -	-	+++	- -	-	+	+++
Delaware Roth (R) Biden (D)	33% 0%	+	?	?	- -	+	-	- -	-	+
Florida Graham, B. (D) Mack (R)	11% 100%	- +	- +	- +	- +	- +	?	- +	- +	+++
Georgia Coverdell (R) Cleland (D)	88% 11%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+++	- -
Hawaii Inouye (D) Akaka (D)	77% 22%	++	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+ +
Idaho Craig (R) Crapo (R)	100% 100%	++	+++	+++	++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++
Illinois Durbin (D) Fitzgerald (R)	11% 55%	- +	- -	- +	- -	- +	- -	- +	- -	+++

 Opposed Private 	te Property position e Property position unts as negative)	FISHING REJACIF	HR 2466 MINING W	HR 2466 RESOLL	HR 2466 TRAD	THPING THR 2466 GRA	FORE.	THE 2466 OIL PC.	ON SYSTEM SECON.	COSPONSORSHIP
SENATOR	% AGREED ALL VOTES LPPV POSITION:	N 1 S 544 NF FISHING		Z & HR 2466 MANAGE	4 + HR 2466	× 5 HR 2466	A 9 HR 2466 PROGRA	4 L HR 2466 VALUATI	A & HJRES &	2 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8
Indiana Lugar (R) Bayh (D)	77% 33%	+	+	+	+++	+ -	+ -	+	- +	+
Grassley (R) Harkin (D)	100% 11%	+	+	+	+	+ -	+	+	+	++
Kansas Brownback (R) Roberts (R)	77% 77%	++	++	++	++	+ ?	- +	++	- +	+
Kentucky McConnell (R) Bunning (R)	100% 77%	++	++	++	++	+ +	++	++	+ ?	+
Louisiana Breaux (D) Landrieu (D)	88% 55%	++	+	++	++	+	++	++	+	- -
Maine Snowe (R) Collins, S. (R)	44% 44%	- -	-	++	++	- -	++	-	-	++
Maryland Sarbanes (D) Mikulski (D)	0% 11%	- -	-	-	- -	- -	-	-	- +	- -
Massachusetts Kennedy, E. (D Kerry, J. (D)	0%	- -	?	-	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	-
Michigan Levin, C. (D) Abraham (R)	22% 100%	- +	- +	- +	- +	- +	- +	- +	++	++
Minnesota Wellstone (D) Grams, R. (R)	11% 100%	- +	- +	- +	- +	- +	+++	- +	- +	- +
Mississippi Cochran (R) Lott (R)	77% 77%	?	+ ?	++	+++	++	++	++	+++	-
Missouri Bond (R) Ashcroft (R)	77% 88%	++	+++	++	++	++	++	+++	?	- +
Montana Baucus, M. (D) Burns (R)	44% 100%	- +	- +	- +	+++	+ +	+ +	- +	- +	++

 Opposed Private 	ate Property position e Property position unts as negative)	FISHING RECIEE	HR 2466 MINING II.	MASTE MANAGENESOUR	THE SAGE TRANS	ONIA ANG	O HR 2466 FORT	HR 2466 OIL BE	ON SYSTEM	COSPONSODE CARA	diHSv
SENATOR	% AGREED ALL VOTES LPPV POSITION:	Z L S 544 N FISHING	A N HR 246 DISPOS	Z & HR 246 MANAGI	* * HR 2466	4 5 HR 2466	A 9 HR 246 PROGE	4 HR 246 VALUAY	HURES PRACT.	N 6 825 8 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 1	
Nebraska											
Kerrey, R. (D) Hagel (R)	22% 100%	- +	- +	- +	++	++	- +	- +	- +	- +	
Nevada											
Reid, H. (D)	33%	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	
Bryan (D)	33%	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	
New Hampshire											
Smith, R.C. (R)		+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	
Gregg (R)	44%	+	-	+	+	-	?	-	+	-	
New Jersey	00/								9		
Lautenberg (D) Torricelli (D)	0% 0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	?	-	
` '	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
New Mexico Domenici (R)	100%			1	1	1		1	1	1	
Bingaman (D)	44%	+	+	+	+ +	+	+	++	+	+ +	
New York	11 /0	_	Τ	_	Т	_	_	Т	_	Т	
Moynihan (D)	11%	+	?	_	?	?	_	_	?	_	
Schumer (D)	0%	_	-	_	-	-	_	_	-	_	
North Carolina	0,0										
Helms (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
Edwards, J. (D)		_	_	_	+	_	-	_	+	+	
North Dakota											
Conrad (D)	66%	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	+	+	
Dorgan (D)	55%	+	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	+	
Ohio											
DeWine (R)	77%	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	
Voinovich (R)	88%	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
Oklahoma											
Nickles (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
Inhofe (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
Oregon	110/										
Wyden (D)	11%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 0	+	
Smith, G. (R)	66%	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	?	+	
Pennsylvania	4.40/	,									
Specter (R) Santorum (R)	44% 100%	+ +	- +	- +	- +	++	+	+	++	+ +	
Santorulli (K)	10070	+	T	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	

SENATE K		FISHING BOLLO	HRZEGS JER BAY DISPOSA MINING	7. F			A HR 2466 FORT	THE SAGE OF BERVICE	٨	COSPONSORSHIP
+ Supported Private Proposed Private Proposed Private Proposed			<i>¥</i> ;	HR 2466 RESC.	ANS 4NS	(n	A E	HR 2466 OIL BY	SYSTEM OC	IINII A.
? Did not vote (counts	as negative)	ζ		خ و	25	Ž,	<i>§</i>	!S		# 4. F. P.
I Ineligible to vote at the	ne time	o'	S	? ES	ENJ	व र	ž ,ė		S S	8 46 ORS
		§	1 99 1 99	99	THE SAGE TRAIN	် ဖွ	99	S 94		COSPONSORSHIP
		544 HIN	40	~. %.\ %.\	246	246	₹ <i>6</i>	22	40,7E	. \$5. \$9.
	% AGREED	S.F.	至	I A	Ŧ,	Ŧ	ΞÃ	\$2	I, T	တ် လ
SENATOR LPP	ALL VOTES V POSITION:	1 N	2 Y	3 N	4 Y	5 Y	6 Y	7 Y	8 Y	9 N
SENATOR LPP	V POSITION:	N	T	IN .	T	T	T	T	T	N
Rhode Island										
Reed, J. (D)	11%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+
Chafee, Lincoln (R)	50%	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	-	+
South Carolina	1000/									
Thurmond, S. (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Hollings (D)	55%	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	+
South Dakota	5.5 0/									
Daschle (D)	55%	-	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	+
Johnson, T. (D)	33%	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	+
Tennessee	1,000/									
Thompson, F. (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ ?	+
Frist (R)	77%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	!	-
Texas	1,000/									
Gramm, P. (R)	100% 88%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ ?	+
Hutchison, K. (R)	88%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	!	+
Utah	100%									
Hatch (R) Bennett (R)	100%	+	++	+	+	++	+	+ +	+	+ +
` '	10070	Т	Т	Т	т	Т	т	Т	т	т
Vermont Leahy (D)	22%				1					1
Jeffords (R)	22%	_	_	_	++	_	_	_	_	+ +
Virginia (N)	2270				'					1
Warner (R)	66%	_	+	_	+	+	+	?	+	+
Robb (D)	11%	_	_	_	_	-	_	-	+	_
Washington	1170								•	
Gorton, S. (R)	88%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	?	+
Murray (D)	11%	-	-	· -	-	-	-	_	-	+
West Virginia										
Byrd (D)	77%	+	+	+	_	+	+	_	+	+
Rockefeller (D)	22%	-	-	_	_	-	-	_	+	+
Wisconsin										
Kohl (D)	33%	_	_	_	+	_	_	_	+	+
Feingold (D)	22%	_	_	_	+	_	_	_	_	+
Wyoming										
Thomas, C. (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Enzi (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
. /										

UNITED STATES HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

The votes listed below show how each Representative supported (+) or opposed (-) the League of Private Property Voters position. A description of each vote is listed below.

You will gain the greatest benefit by first looking up your Representative to see what his or her private property score was on the scorecard. Then read each vote description. The League's private property position listed near the top of the scorecard shows how we believe your Representative should have voted on each issue. Check to see whether your Representative supported (+) or opposed (-) the League's private property position.

US HOUSE VOTES

HOUSE VOTE 1 - HR 350: Federal Mandates on the Private Sector - Modify Point of Order

Feb. 10, 1999 - Boehlert, R-N.Y., amendment to modify the effect of the new point of order established by the bill. Under the amendment, the House would not be required to vote on continuing consideration of the legislation, but would have an additional 20 minutes to debate the private sector mandates of the legislation. The amendment would gut the objective of unfunded mandate legislation, which is to force Congress to realize and have to vote on any bill's impact on property owners and other private sector entities. Amendment defeated 210-216: R 34-187; D 175-29; I 1-0. **LPPV position: OPPOSE.**

HOUSE VOTE 2 - HR 883: Land Sovereignty - Biosphere Reserves

May 20, 1999 - Udall, D-Colo., amendment to exempt all Biosphere Reserve projects in Colorado from the bill's provisions. The amendment weakens protections for property owners in all of Colorado against United Nations efforts to override national sovereignty and establish control over private property. Rejected 191-231: R 7-209; D 183-22; I 1-0. **LPPV position: OPPOSE.**

HOUSE VOTE 3 - HR 1906: Agricultural Appropriations - Wild Predator Control

June 8, 1999 - DeFazio, D-Ore., amendment to reduce funding for the National Wildlife Service's program for lethal predator control by \$7 million. The program funds efforts to control the population of wild animals that prey on livestock and birds that flock near airports. The amendment proposes to cut back common sense controls on wild animals that threaten

property owners living and working adjacent to federal lands. Amendment defeated 193-230: R 63-153; D 129-77; I 1-0. **LPPV position: OPPOSE.**

HOUSE VOTE 4 - HR 2466: Fiscal 2000 **Interior Appropriations - Land Acquisition** July 13, 1999 - McGovern, D-Mass., amendment to appropriate \$30 million for the state-side matching grant program of the Land and Water Conservation Fund. The amendment would offset the increase in funding by reducing the appropriations for Energy Department fossil energy research and development by \$29 million and for the Bureau of Land Management transportation facilities and management by \$1 million. A disaster both coming and going! The amendment adds to already excessive land acquisition budgets that permit adverse condemnation of private property. takes money away from scarce Bureau of Land Management operating funds. Amendment passed 213-202: R 55-157; D 157-45; I 1-0. LPPV position: OPPOSE.

HOUSE VOTE 5 - HR 2466: Fiscal 2000 Interior Appropriations - Mining Waste

July 14, 1999 - Rahall, D-W.Va., amendment to prohibit the processing of applications for mining plans or operations that would use more than five acres to dispose of mining waste. The amendment would effectively shut down nearly all new mining proposals regardless of their environmental mitigation efforts by establishing an arbitrary acreage limit for waste, regardless of the size of the mine. This will severely impact patented private lands. Amendment approved 273-151: R 78-143; D 194-8; I 1-0. **LPPV position: OPPOSE.**

HOUSE VOTE 6 - HR 2466: Fiscal 2000 Interior Appropriations - Trapping

July 14, 1999 - Farr, D-Calif., amendment to prohibit the use of funds in the bill to permit the use of jawed leghold traps or neck snares in the National Wildlife Refuge System. The amendment provides an exception for the use of these traps and snares for use in research, conservation, or facilities protection. A vote for emotional silliness over common sense! Leghold traps sound nasty, but in many cases are safer for the environment than other predator control methods such as poison. They are used by the Audubon Society and private landowners, and should remain available as an option. Amendment approved 259-166: R 89-131; D 169-35; I 1-0. **LPPV position: OPPOSE.**

HOUSE VOTE 7 - HR 2466: Fiscal 2000 Interior Appropriations - Reduce Timber Management

July 14, 1999 - Wu, D-Ore., amendment to earmark \$196.9 million of National Forest System funding for timber sales management, \$120.5 million for wildlife and fisheries habitat management, and \$40.2 million for watershed improvements. Without the amendment, the bill would allocate \$220 million for timber sales management, \$103.5 million for wildlife and fisheries habitat management, and \$34 million for watershed improvements. The amendment cuts back funds to manage timber sales, which are an important part of many rural communities local tax and economic base and a method of wildfire control. Amendment rejected 174-250: R 25-195; 148-55; I 1-0. LPPV position: OPPOSE.

HOUSE VOTE 8 - HR 2605: Fiscal 2000 Energy and Water Appropriations - Wetlands Permits

July 27, 1999 - Visclosky, D-Ind., amendment to remove provisions that would require the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers to undertake studies and issue a report to Congress before it revises its permit program for developing wetlands areas of less than three acres. The amendment also would remove provisions that would authorize the federal appeal of certain wetlands designations prior to completion of the Corps permit process. The amendment

seeks to cut back Congress's oversight authority on wetlands laws, and weaken legal protections for property owners seeking wetlands permits. Amendment rejected 183-245: R 6-214; D 176-31; I 1-0. **LPPV position: OPPOSE.**

HOUSE VOTE 9 - HR 2670: Fiscal 2000 Commerce-Justice-State Appropriations -Defund World Heritage Sites

Aug. 5, 1999 - Hayworth, R-Ariz., amendment to prohibit any funds in the bill from being used to add any natural site or cultural monument currently recognized as a World Heritage Site by the United Nations' World Heritage Committee to the committee's list of endangered world heritage sites. The amendment prohibits American tax money from being used to support the United Nations ongoing efforts to establish land use control over private property in the United States. Adopted 217-209: R 199-20; D 18-188; I 0-1. **LPPV position: SUPPORT.**

Revenues for Rural Communities - Passage Nov. 3, 1999 - Passage of the bill (sponsored by Deal, R-Ga. and Boyd, D-Fl.) to guarantee that counties adjacent to National Forest Service lands will receive a percentage of the agency's timber sales revenues equal to their average payment of the highest three years since 1985. The measure would create a five-year safety net for communities whose forest payments

The measure would create a five-year safety net for communities whose forest payments have been reduced in recent years. The measure would require counties receiving more than \$100,000 in payments to use 20 percent of the payments for community-based projects and 80 percent for roads and schools. Excellent legislation that helps rural communities with the economic impacts of declining timber sales due to zealous, punitive enforcement of the Endangered Species Act. Passed 274-153: R 187-29; D 87-123; I 0-1. **LPPV position: SUPPORT.**

Cosponsorship of CARA, HR 701 and HR 798, the Conservation and Reinvestiment Act.

See separate section (page 3) titled CARA: A DAGGER AIMED AT THE HEART OF PRIVATE PROPERTY RIGHTS. **LPPV position: OPPOSE.**

HOUSE SCORECARD

+ Supported Private I - Opposed Private Pr ? Did not vote (counts I Ineligible to vote at	Property position roperty position s as negative)	ź	N HR 883 LANG	HR 1906 RESERVES	HR 2466 I	THE 2466 MIN	" HR 246E .	I HR 2466 RES	HR 2605 WELL PERMIS WELL	HR 2670 DE	HR 2389 RICE	L HR 701 & HR 738 CAS	אזי. אווים.
REPRESENTATIVE	% AGREED ALL VOTES LPPV POSITION:	N 1 HR 350	N N HR 883	N 8 HR 190	Z + HR 2466	Z G HR 246 DISPOS	Z 9 HR 246,	N 2 HR 246	X & HR 260	A 6 HR 267	A 01 HR 238	N 1 HR 701	
Alabama													
1 Callahan (R) 2 Everett (R) 3 Riley (R) 4 Aderholt (R) 5 Cramer (D) 6 Bachus, S. (R)	91% 91% 91% 91% 82% 91%	+ + + + +	+ + + + +	+ + + + +	- - - -								
7 Hilliard (D)	36%	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	
Alaska Al Young, D. (R) Arizona 1 Salmon (R)	91% 91%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	
	27%	+	1	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
2 Pastor (D)			-	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	
3 Stump (R) 4 Shadegg (R)	91% 100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	
5 Kolbe (R)	82%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
	91%	+	+	+	+	+		+	+	+	-	+	
6 Hayworth (R) Arkansas	91%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
1 Berry (D)	91%										+		
2 Snyder (D)	91%	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	
3 Hutchinson, A. (R)	91%	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	
4 Dickey (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+ +	+	+	+	+	+	+ +	
California	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
1 Thompson, M. (D)	27%			+	?			+			+	_	
2 Herger (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	+	+	
3 Ose (R)	91%	+	+	+	+	+	_	+		+	+	+	
4 Doolittle (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
5 Matsui (D)	9%	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	
6 Woolsey (D)	0%	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
7 Miller, George (D)	0%	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
8 Pelosi (D)	0%	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
9 Lee (D)	0%	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
10 Tauscher (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
11 Pombo (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
12 Lantos (D)	0%	-	-	?	-	-	-	-	-	?	-	-	
13 Stark (D)	0%	-	?	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
14 Eshoo (Ď)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
15 Campbell, T. (R)	45%	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	
16 Lofgren (D)	0%	?	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
17 Farr (D) 18 Condit (D)	9% 55%	-	-	+	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	
19 Radanovich (R)	100%	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	
20 Dooley (D)	55%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
21 Thomas, B. (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
22 Capps (D)	9%	_	_	+	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
23 Gallegly (R)	73%	+	+	+	_	_	_	+	+	+	+	+	
24 Sherman (D)	0%	<u>'</u>		_	_	_	_	'-	-	'-	-	_	
25 McKeon (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
26 Berman (D)	0%	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
27 Rogan (R)	91%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
28 Dreier (R)	82%	+	+	+	+	+	?	+	+	+	+	-	
29 Waxman (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
30 Becerra (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
31 Martinez (D)	36%	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	?	-	+	-	
32 Dixon (D)	0%	-	?	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
33 Roybal-Allard (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	?	-	-	-	

			HR 883 LAND	HR 1906 RESERVES		TAND ACQUISITION	,		۵-		0	COSPONSON 78 COMMUNITY	
HOUSE KE			64	A S	HR 2466 / A	ĬĹ	SAL TING WASTE		"AGENENTCE TIMBER PERMISS WES		HR 2389 RILES WORLD	COSPONSON 28 COMMUN	₹
+ Supported Private Pro	operty position		₹	Z ER	₹0 8	ž	4 S	4.	Ĭ.	S	Š	W :	<u>र</u>
- Opposed Private Prop ? Did not vote (counts a	perty position		7	ŠŠ E	#\Z	ي	2	§ ≷	ių.	₹	20	ي ق) <u>e</u>
I Ineligible to vote at the	e time		\$ 5		క్షర	<u>, </u>	Š	<u>a</u>	ĭ 5 > !	<i>Ž</i>	\$ 1	\$ %	<i>ξ</i> δ,
<u> </u>		i	9 5	RE A	is s	₹ \$	7	HR Z466 RE	Z E	HR 2670 DE	A S	1 1 2	5
		9	33.	96 J	747 66,	99	δ 8	99	g GE	57.0	4 6 8 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	¥ % \$ %	
		35,	A C S S S	A A A A A A	4 4	2,0	, \(\frac{4}{2} \)	%≥	7 7 2		2.4	7 20	
	% AGREED	Ŧ	ŦÃ	ΞQ	Ŧ	ĪÃ	Ŧ	ĮΣ	Ξď	ΞŦ	EA	₹S	
	ALL VOTES	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	•		11	
REPRESENTATIVE	LPPV POSITION:	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Υ	Υ	N	
California (continued) 34 Napolitano (D)	18%	_	?	+	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
35 Waters (D)	9%	-	+	$\overset{\scriptscriptstyle{ o}}{?}$	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	-	
36 Kuykendall (R)	64%	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	
37 Millender-McDonald (D	0% 36%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
38 Horn (R) 39 Royce (R)	82%	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	
40 Lewis, Jerry (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
41 Miller, Gary (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
42 Baca, J. (D) 43 Calvert (R)	0% 100%	I +	I +	I +	I +	I +	I +	I +	I +	I +	I +	+	
44 Bono (R)	82%	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	
45 Rohrabacher (R)	82%	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	
46 Sanchez (D)	9% 82%	-	-	-	?	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	
47 Cox (R) 48 Packard (R)	82% 91%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
49 Bilbray (R)	27%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	?	-	-	
50 Filner (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
51 Cunningham (R) 52 Hunter (R)	91% 91%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	
Colorado	7170			'			'			'			
1 DeGette (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2 Udall, M. (D) 3 McInnis (R)	0% 82%	+	_	+	-	+	+	_	_	+	_	-	
4 Schaffer (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
5 Hefley (R)	82%	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	
6 Tancredo (R) Connecticut	82%	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	
1 Larson (D)	0%	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
2 Gejdenson (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
3 DeLauro (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
4 Shays (R) 5 Maloney, J. (D)	18% 9%	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	
6 Johnson, N. (R)	45%	-	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	
Delaware	100/												
Al Castle (R) Florida	18%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	
1 Scarborough (R)	27%	-	+	-	?	-	-	-	+	+	?	-	
2 Boyd (D)	64%	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	
3 Brown, C. (D) 4 Fowler (R)	9% 82%	+	+	+ +	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	
5 Thurman, K. (D)	27%	-	-	+	?	?	?	?	-	-	+	+	
6 Stearns (R)	91%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	
7 Mica (R) 8 McCollum (R)	82% 64%	+	+	+ ?	++	+	-	++	+	++	+	-	
9 Bilirakis (R)	82%	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	+	+	+	-	
10 Young, C.W. (R)	91%	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	
11 Davis, J. (D)	36% 82%	+	-	+	-	-	-	?	-	-	+	+	
12 Canady (R) 13 Miller, D. (R)	82% 55%	+	+	+	+	+	-	++	+	++	+	-	
14 Goss (R)	55%	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	
15 Weldon, D. (R)	91%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	
16 Foley (R) 17 Meek, C. (D)	45% 9%	+	?	++	7	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	
18 Ros-Lehtinen (R)	73%	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	
19 Wexler (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

+ Supported Private Proposed Private Privat	roperty position operty position as negative)	Á	N HR 883 LAND	HR 1906 RESERVES	HR 2466 I	HR 2466 MINION	SAL WING WASTE	'KAPPING RE:	"AGENEDUCE TIMBER PERMISON	HR 2670 DE	HA 2389 RUES WORLD	THE TOTAL COMMUNITY COSPONS HE ZOS	SORSHIP CARA
		350 E	883	1306 1306	2466	2466 000	2466 2466	2466	** GE **********************************	2670 2670	2389 74G		•
	% AGREED	\$	AF O	£ g	ı¥	HR Jist	¥	A Z	E A	F. F.	E E	¥ŏ	
	ALL VOTES	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	
REPRESENTATIVE	LPPV POSITION:	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Υ	Υ	N	
Florida (continued)													
20 Deutsch (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
21 Diaz-Balart (R)	64%	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	
22 Shaw (R) 23 Hastings, A. (D)	73% 0%	+	+	+	+ ?	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	
Georgia	070	_	_	_	•	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
1 Kingston (R)	82%	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	
2 Bishop (D)	64%	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	
3 Collins, M. (R) 4 McKinney (D)	73% 0%	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	
5 Lewis, John (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
6 Isakson (R)	80%	I	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	
7 Barr (R)	82%	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	
8 Chambliss (R)	91%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	
9 Deal (R) 10 Norwood (R)	82% 91%	+	+	++	++	+	+	+	+	+ +	+	-	
11 Linder (R)	82%	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	
Hawaii													
1 Abercrombie (D)	9%	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2 Mink (D) Idaho	9%	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
1 Chenoweth-Hage (R)	88%	+	+	?	?	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
2 Simpson (R)	91%	+	+	+	$\dot{?}$	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
Illinois													
1 Rush (D)	0%	?	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2 Jackson, J. (D) 3 Lipinski (D)	0% 18%	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	_	-	+	-	
4 Gutierrez (D)	9%	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	+	-	
5 Blagojevich (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
6 Hyde (R)	82%	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	
7 Davis, D. (D) 8 Crane (R)	0% 82%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
9 Schakowsky (D)	0%	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	
10 Porter (R)	18%	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	+	_	_	+	
11 Weller (R)	64%	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	
12 Costello (D)	18%	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	
13 Biggert (R) 14 Hastert (R)	55%	+	+	Sn	- eaker I	oes No	t Vote	+	+	+	+	-	
15 Ewing (R)	64%	?	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	_	
16 Manzullo (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
17 Evans (D)	9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	
18 LaHood (R) 19 Phelps (D)	64% 36%	-	+	+ +	+	-	-	+	+	+	+ +	-	
20 Shimkus (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
Indiana		•	•										
1 Visclosky (D)	27%	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	
2 McIntosh (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
3 Roemer (D) 4 Souder (R)	27% 91%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ +	+ +	-	
5 Buyer (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
6 Burton (R)	91%	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	
7 Pease (R)	55%	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	
8 Hostettler (R) 9 Hill, B. (D)	100% 55%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
9 Hill, B. (D) 10 Carson (D)	55% 0%	+ ?	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	
To Canson (D)	570	•											

			TEDERAL MANDATES	È		TAND ACQUISITION						THR 701 & HR Z	
HOUSE K	(EY		74	હેંદ્ર		5	SAL TING WASTE		"AGENEDUCE TIMBER " PERMISS WE		HR 2389 RUES WORLD	<i>_</i> {2}	_
+ Supported Private P	roperty position		₹	ZE KE		18/5	15,		JA B	G	Š	N.	\$
 Opposed Private Pro 	perty position		Z'	S. F. F.	N S S	Ö	3	Š	E T	Š	9	ပ	ပ် ရ
? Did not vote (counts Ineligible to vote at the	as negative)		\$ 6	S W	క్షర్ట	4	<u>გ</u>	الم	5,L	7	<i>≨</i> ₩ :	7	R H S
I mengible to vote at tr	ie uitie		₽ Ş	E W	HR 2466 I	Ž Š	?	1 HR 2466 RES		HR 2670 DE		£ £	ONSON SHIP CARA
		4		7ER 96 F	47°		7 2	96.	EN.	(S) (S)	S GE	w .	S _N
		350	88	5	3, 8	* 2	248	24.5	36.	9/	Z & Q	i Pag	,
	% AGREED	\$	# 50 10	£ E	` &`	¥.	¥	¥ 4	E A	H. H.	E E	₹Ş	
	ALL VOTES	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	
REPRESENTATIVE	LPPV POSITION:	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Υ	N	
Iowa	4004												
1 Leach (R) 2 Nussle (R)	18% 100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
3 Boswell (D)	64%	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	
4 Ganske (R)	73%	_	+	+	+	_	+	+	+	_	+	+	
5 Latham (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
Kansas													
1 Moran, Jerry (R)	73%	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	
2 Ryun, J. (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
3 Moore (D) 4 Tiahrt (R)	18% 100%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	
Kentucky	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
1 Whitfield (R)	64%	+	+	_	+	_	_	+	+	+	+	_	
2 Lewis, R. (R)	91%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	
3 Northup (R)	91%	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	
4 Lucas, K. (D)	82%	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	
5 Rogers (R)	91%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	
6 Fletcher (R)	91%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	
Louisiana 1 Vitter (R)	89%	I	I	+	+		+	+	+	+	+		
2 Jefferson (D)	27%	-	-	+	+	+	_	_	+	_	_	-	
3 Tauzin (R)	82%	+	+	+	?	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	
4 McCrery (R)	91%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	
5 Cooksey (R)	82%	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	
6 Baker (R)	91%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	
7 John (D)	82%	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	
Maine 1 Allen (D)	9%				?		_						
2 Baldacci (D)	27%	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	
Maryland	2170							'			'		
1 Gilchrest (R)	45%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	
2 Ehrlich (R)	64%	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	
3 Cardin (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
4 Wynn (D)	9%	-	-	-	-	?	?	?	+	-	-	-	
5 Hoyer (D) 6 Bartlett (R)	36% 73%	-	+	-	-	?	-	+	+	-	+	+	
7 Cummings (D)	0%	+	+	+	+	_	_	-	-	+	-	_	
8 Morella (R)	9%	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	+	_	
Massachusetts													
1 Olver (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2 Neal (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
3 McGovern (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
4 Frank, Barney (D) 5 Meehan (D)	0% 0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	?	-	-	
6 Tierney (D)	0%	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	-	_	_	_	
7 Markey (D)	0%	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
8 Capuano (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
9 Moakley (D)	0%	-	?	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
10 Delahunt (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Michigan	070/												
1 Stupak (D) 2 Hoekstra (R)	27% 100%		_	+	_	_	+	+	_	+	+	_	
3 Ehlers (R)	27%	+	+ +	_	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	+	
4 Camp (R)	91%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	
5 Barcia (D)	55%	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	
6 Upton (R)	36%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	

+ Supported Private F - Opposed Private Pr ? Did not vote (counts I Ineligible to vote at t	Property position operty position as negative)	Á	N HR 883 LAND	HR 1906 RESERVES	HR 2466 I STORY	O HR 2466 MINION	L "ING WASTE	IRAPPING MANAGE RES	HR 2605 WE'S PERMISSY PE	HR 2670 DE	HR 2389 RUES WORLD	COSPONSOL 78	SKHIP SARA
	% AGREED	HR 350 F	HR 883 BIOSPL	HR 1906 PRED	104; HR 2466	HR 2466 DISPOS	194 HR 2466	HR 2466	HR 2605 PERMIN	HR 2670 HERIT	HR 2389 REVEN	HR 701 COSPON	
REPRESENTATIVE	ALL VOTES LPPV POSITION:	1 N	2 N	3 N	4 N	5 N	6 N	7 N	8 N	9 Y	10 Y	11 N	
Michigan (continued)	LFFV FOSITION.	- IN	- IN	- 14	- IN	IN	- IN	- 14	IN .	- '		- IN	
7 Smith, Nick (R)	82%	_	+	+	+	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	
8 Stabenow (D)	9%	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
9 Kildee (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
10 Bonior (D) 11 Knollenberg (R)	0% 100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
12 Levin, S. (D)	9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	
13 Rivers (D)	0%	-	-	-	?	?	?	?	-	-	-	-	
14 Conyers (D)	0%	?	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
15 Kilpatrick (D) 16 Dingell (D)	0% 27%	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	?	-	
Minnesota	21%	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	
1 Gutknecht (R)	82%	+	+	?	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	
2 Minge (D)	18%	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	
3 Ramstad (R)	9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	
4 Vento (D) 5 Sabo (D)	0% 9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	
6 Luther (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
7 Peterson, C. (D)	82%	+	+	+	+	_	+	+	+	+	+	-	
8 Oberstar (D)	36%	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	?	-	-	-	
Mississippi	1000												
1 Wicker (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
2 Thompson, B. (D) 3 Pickering (R)	18% 91%	+	+	++	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	
4 Shows (D)	73%	+	+	+	-	_	+	+	+	+	+	-	
5 Taylor, G. (D)	36%	-	+	-	_	_	-	+	-	+	+	-	
Missouri													
1 Clay (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2 Talent (R) 3 Gephardt (D)	73% 0%	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	
4 Skelton (D)	73%	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	
5 McCarthy, K. (D)	0%	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	
6 Danner (D)	64%	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	
7 Blunt (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
8 Emerson (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ ?	+	
9 Hulshof (R) Montana	45%	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+		+	
Al Hill, R. (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
Nebraska													
1 Bereuter (R)	36%	-	+	+	-	-	-	?	+	-	?	+	
2 Terry (R)	91%	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	
3 Barrett, B. (R) Nevada	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
1 Berkley (D)	9%	_	-	-	_	+	-	_	_	_	_	-	
2 Gibbons (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
New Hampshire					-								
1 Sununu (R)	64%	+	+	-	?	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	
2 Bass (R) New Jersey	64%	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	
1 Andrews (D)	0%	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
2 LoBiondo (R)	36%	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	
3 Saxton (R)	27%	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	
4 Smith, C. (R)	18%	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	
5 Roukema (R)	18%	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	
6 Pallone (D) 7 Franks, Bob (R)	0% 18%	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	_	-	
/ 11anks, D00 (K)	1070		т	-		-			7"			_	

+ Supported Private From Opposed Private Priva	Property position		N HR 883 LAND	HR 1906 RED. PRENESONTY	HR 2466 ,	JAND ACQUISITION	SAL WASTE	G	"AGENENT FINBER PERMIS WE	Sq	HR 2389 RIPS WORLD	THR 701 & HR 738 CAP	7
? Did not vote (counts I Ineligible to vote at t	s as negative)		RAL	RESE	¥8 20 20	ο 4C	ر اا	PPIN	7. 1. (F	₹	TES TES	74	4
in singuistic vote at t		4	F.DE	ERE GRE	170. 170.	, AN 9	74	I HR Z466 RE	EMEN	HR 2670 DE		\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	
	× 400550	۲ 350	7,88°	78.79 19.79	246,	R 246	2 25 246,	R 246	7 4 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	R 26;	4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	78 70 58 0	
	% AGREED	Ī	£ 9	7.4	Ī	ŦĞ	Ī	ΞŽ	ΞΨ	ΣΞ	Z S	₹ŏ	
REPRESENTATIVE	ALL VOTES LPPV POSITION:	1 N	2 N	3 N	4 N	5 N	6 N	7 N	8 N	9 Y	10 Y	11 N	
New Jersey (continued)	LFFV FOSITION.	IN	IN	- IN	IN	IN	IN .	IN	- IN	'	'	IN .	
8 Pascrell (D)	0%	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
9 Rothman (D)	9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	
10 Payne (D) 11 Frelinghuysen (R)	0% 27%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
12 Holt (D)	0%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	
13 Menendez (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
New Mexico	010/			,					,				
1 Wilson (R) 2 Skeen (R)	91% 100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	++	
3 Udall, T. (D)	18%	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	
New York													
1 Forbes (D) 2 Lazio (R)	9% 27%	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
3 King, P. (R)	55%	+	+	-	_	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	
4 McCarthy, C. (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
5 Ackerman (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
6 Meeks, G. (D) 7 Crowley (D)	9% 0%	-	_	+	_	-	-	_	-	_	_	-	
8 Nadler (D)	0%	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	
9 Weiner (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
10 Towns (D) 11 Owens (D)	0% 0%	-	?	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
12 Velazquez (D)	9%	-	_	-	_	-	-	_	-	_	+	-	
13 Fossella (R)	55%	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	
14 Maloney, C. (D)	0%	?	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
15 Rangel (D) 16 Serrano (D)	0% 0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
17 Engel (D)	9%	_	_	+	_	_	-	_	_	-	_	_	
18 Lowey (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
19 Kelly (R) 20 Gilman (R)	27% 27%	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	
21 McNulty (D)	0%	-	+	-	_	?	?	?	+	+	_	-	
22 Sweeney (R)	82%	+	+	+	?	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	
23 Boehlert (R)	36%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	
24 McHugh (R) 25 Walsh (R)	82% 55%	+	+	++	-	-	++	+	++	+	+	++	
26 Hinchey (D)	9%	_	-	+	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	
27 Reynolds (R)	73%	+	+	?	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	
28 Slaughter (D) 29 LaFalce (D)	0% 9%	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
30 Quinn (R)	64%	-	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	
31 Houghton (R)	45%	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	
North Carolina	270/												
1 Clayton (D) 2 Etheridge (D)	27% 9%	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	++	-	
3 Jones, W. (R)	64%	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	_	
4 Price, D. (D)	9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	
5 Burr (R) 6 Coble (R)	91% 91%	+ +	+	++	+	++	++	++	++	++	++	-	
7 McIntyre (D)	64%	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	
8 Hayes (R)	82%	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	
9 Myrick (R)	82%	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	
10 Ballenger (R) 11 Taylor, C. (R)	100% 91%	+ +	+	++	+	+	++	++	+	+	++	+	
12 Watt, M. (D)	18%	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	

+ Supported Private I - Opposed Private Pr ? Did not vote (counts I Ineligible to vote at	Property position roperty position s as negative)	Á	N HR 883 LANS	JHERE RESERVES	HR 2466 ,	HR 2466 MM	SAL WING WASTE	TRAPPING MAN, 466 P.C.	HA 2605 WER	HR 2670 DE	HR 2389 RILLS	COSPONS HR 790	ORSHIP ARA
REPRESENTATIVE	% AGREED ALL VOTES LPPV POSITION:	N 1 HR 350,	N 5 HR 883	3 N FR 19 0	2 + HR 2466	Z 5 HR 2466	Z 9 HR 2466	N 2 HR 2466	X & HR 2600	4 6 HR 2670 HERITOR	10 A REVENSE	ON'- 1 HR 701 1 N	
North Dakota													
Al Pomeroy (D)	55%	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	
Ohio (Ch. L. (P.)	< 407												
1 Chabot (R) 2 Portman (R)	64% 55%	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	
3 Hall, T. (D)	18%	+	+	+	+	_	_	_	+	+	_	+	
4 Oxley (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
5 Gillmor (R)	82%	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	+	+	+	-	
6 Strickland (D)	27%	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	
7 Hobson (R)	91%	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	
8 Boehner (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
9 Kaptur (D) 10 Kucinich (D)	9% 0%	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
11 Jones, S. (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
12 Kasich (R)	82%	+	+	+	?	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	
13 Brown, S. (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	?	_	_	-	-	-	-	
14 Sawyer (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
15 Pryce, D. (R)	91%	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	
16 Regula (R)	82%	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	
17 Traficant (D) 18 Ney (R)	64% 91%	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	
19 LaTourette (R)	64%	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	
Oklahoma	0470												
1 Largent (R)	73%	+	?	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	
2 Coburn (R)	82%	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	
3 Watkins (R)	91%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	
4 Watts, J.C. (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
5 Istook (R) 6 Lucas, F. (R)	100% 91%	+	++	+	+ +	+	++	+ +	+	++	+	+	
Oregon	9170	т	т	т	т	-	-		-	-	-	-	
1 Wu (D)	9%	-	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	+	_	
2 Walden (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
3 Blumenauer (D)	9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	
4 DeFazio (D)	18%	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	
5 Hooley (D) Pennsylvania	18%	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	
1 Brady, R. (D)	27%	_	_	_	+	_	_	+	_	_	_	+	
2 Fattah (D)	9%	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
3 Borski (D)	9%	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
4 Klink (D)	36%	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	
5 Peterson, J. (R)	82%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	+	
6 Holden (D) 7 Weldon, C. (R)	36% 55%	-	+	+	+ +	-	+	++	+	+	?	-	
8 Greenwood (R)	36%	-	+	_	- -	-	_	+	+	_	+	-	
9 Shuster (R)	91%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	
10 Sherwood (R)	73%	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	
11 Kanjorski (D)	36%	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	
12 Murtha (D)	64%	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	+	+	
13 Hoeffel (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
14 Coyne (D) 15 Toomey (R)	9% 73%	+	+	-	++	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	
16 Pitts (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
17 Gekas (R)	91%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	
18 Doyle (D)	36%	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	
19 Goodling (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
20 Mascara (D)	55%	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	+	+	

+ Supported Private Proposed Private	Property position operty position as negative)		N HR 883 LANG	HR 1906 RESERVES	HR 2466 ,	HR 2466 MM	SAL WASTE	TRAPPING MAN, 246 PT	"AGENEDUCE TIMBER PERMISON WE	HE 2670 DE	HA 2389 SITES WORLD REVENUE OF THE STATES WORLD	L HR 701 & HR 798 COMMUNITY COSPONSORSHIP CARA	
		4	2 E	HERE 706 R	7470F	W 99.	7 × 5	5 8 5 8	GEINE 305 W.	\$ 5°	4GE 3	10E 7	
	% AGREED	R 35(1880 1085	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	18 24 18 P. 24	A 24 C	\$ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\	F 7 2 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	# 75 E	#####################################	1 4 7 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	
	ALL VOTES	1	2	3	4	5	I 6	+ ≥ 7	8	9	10	11	
REPRESENTATIVE	LPPV POSITION:	N	N	N	N N	N	N	, N	N	Y	Y	N	
Pennsylvania (continued)	Li i v i comon.									•	•		
21 English (R)	64%	+	+	_	+	-	+	+	+	_	+	-	
Rhode Island	00/												
1 Kennedy, P. (D) 2 Weygand (D)	0% 0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
South Carolina													
1 Sanford (R)	55%	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	
2 Spence (R) 3 Graham, L. (R)	82% 91%	++	+ ?	+	+	+	++	+ +	++	++	+	+	
4 DeMint (R)	91%	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
5 Spratt (D)	27%	?	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	
6 Clyburn (D) South Dakota	9%	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Al Thune (R)	91%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	
Tennessee	720/			9									
1 Jenkins (R) 2 Duncan (R)	73% 91%	+	+	?	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
3 Wamp (R)	73%	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	
4 Hilleary (R)	91%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
5 Clement (D) 6 Gordon, B. (D)	45% 27%	++	-	+ +	-	-	-	+	+	-	++	-	
7 Bryant (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
8 Tanner (D)	64%	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	
9 Ford (D) Texas	18%	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	
1 Sandlin (D)	82%	+	+	+	+	_	+	+	+	+	+	_	
2 Turner (D)	64%	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	
3 Johnson, Sam (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
4 Hall, R. (D) 5 Sessions, P. (R)	82% 82%	+	++	++	+	+	-	+	++	++	+	-	
6 Barton (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
7 Archer (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
8 Brady, K. (R) 9 Lampson (D)	82% 18%	+	+	?	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	
10 Doggett (D)	9%	_	_	-	_	_	-	_	_	-	-	+	
11 Edwards, C. (D)	73%	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	
12 Granger (R) 13 Thornberry (R)	82% 91%	+ +	+ ?	++	+	+	+	+ +	++	+	++	+	
14 Paul (R)	73%	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	
15 Hinojosa (D)	27%	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	
16 Reyes (D) 17 Stenholm (D)	27% 100%	+	- +	+ +	- +	++	- +	+	+	?	++	+	
18 Jackson-Lee, S. (D)	9%	_	-	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	+	-	
19 Combest (R)	64%	+	+	+	?	?	?	?	+	+	+	+	
20 Gonzalez (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
21 Smith, Lamar (R) 22 DeLay (R)	100% 100%	+ +	+	+ +	+ +	++	++	+ +	++	++	++	+ +	
23 Bonilla (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
24 Frost (D)	55%	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	
25 Bentsen (D) 26 Armey (R)	27% 100%	+	+	+ +	+	+	+	+ +	- +	+	++	+	
27 Ortiz (D)	55%	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	
28 Rodriguez (D)	18%	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	
29 Green, G. (D) 30 Johnson, E.B. (D)	18% 18%	-	-	- +	+	-	-	-	-	-	++	-	
50 Juliusuli, E.D. (D)	10%	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	

			TEDERAL MANDATES	JHERE RESERVENTY PRESENTY		>	•					THR 701 & HR 701	
HOUSE K	(EY		A 7.	່ §ູ້,		M HR 2466 MILL	" USAL "INING WASTE		"AGENENTCE TIMBER PERM" WELL MACHINE MACHIN MACHINE MACHINE MACHINE MACHINE MACHINE MACHINE MACHINE		HR 2389 RIES WORLD	<i></i> }	
+ Supported Private Property position			- ₹	Z. Z.	, <u>7</u> 0	18/5	187		IMB	60	Š	M	₹ <u></u>
 Opposed Private Programmer 	operty position		ž	E S	# 5 E	õ	ž	Q	<i>L</i>	Š	7	ις C	റ്റ്
? Did not vote (counts as negative)			4	$S_{S_{1}}^{S_{2}}$	50	₹	Ş	ď.	S,	3	ES .	≯ ૪	8 Ž
I Ineligible to vote at the time					HR 2466 ,	₹ ₹	}	TRAPPING MAN 2466 P.		HR 2670 DE		COSPONS HR 700	% ℃
		4		ER B	20 2	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	4	<i>t</i> 9	EM	8 0) # 6.	# ~ ×	?
		50	8	£ 57	<i>d §</i>	246	2 S	246	260 260 14:0	186	Z 8	56	
	% AGREED	œ	₹0	$f \in \mathcal{F}$	œ	7,8	œ	₹₹	£#.	´ ¥₩		₹õ	
	ALL VOTES	1	740	•	4	5	€	7	8	7.	10	11	
DEDDECENTATIVE		-	2 N	3 N	-	-	-	•	•	•	Y		
REPRESENTATIVE	LPPV POSITION:	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Υ	Y	N	
Utah	020/												
1 Hansen (R)	82%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	
2 Cook (R) 3 Cannon (R)	82% 91%	+	+	+	+	+	++	+	++	++	+	+	
Vermont	91%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	
Al Sanders (I)	0%	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Virginia	0 70												
1 Bateman (R)	91%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	
2 Pickett (D)	64%	+	+	?	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	
3 Scott (D)	27%	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	
4 Sisisky (D)	73%	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	
5 Goodletta (P)	82% 100%	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	
6 Goodlatte (R) 7 Bliley (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
8 Moran, James (D)	9%	_	_	_	+	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
9 Boucher (D)	18%	_	_	?	+	_	_	_	_	_	+	_	
10 Wolf (R)	73%	_	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	
11 Davis, T. (R)	55%	+	+	-	?	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	
Washington													
1 Inslee (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2 Metcalf (R)	64%	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	
3 Baird (D)	18%	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	
4 Hastings, D. (R) 5 Nethercutt (R)	100% 91%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
6 Dicks (D)	36%	+	+	+	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
7 McDermott (D)	0%	_	_	'-	?	9	?	?	?	$\stackrel{-}{?}$	_	_	
8 Dunn (R)	82%	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	_	
9 Smith, Adam (D)	9%	-	+	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	
West Virginia													
1 Mollohan (D)	45%	?	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	?	+	-	
2 Wise (D)	45%	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	
3 Rahall (D)	27%	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	
Wisconsin	55%										2		
1 Ryan, P. (R) 2 Baldwin (D)	0%	+	+	-	?	?	?	?	+	+		+	
3 Kind, R. (D)	9%	_	_	_			-	-	_	-	+	_	
4 Kleczka (D)	0%	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	
5 Barrett, T. (D)	0%	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	
6 Petri (R)	64%	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	
7 Obey (D)	18%	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	
8 Green, M. (R)	64%	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	
9 Sensenbrenner (R)	73%	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	
Wyoming Al Cubin (R)	100%												
Ai Cuoiii (K)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	

The 1999 Private Property Congressional Vote Index is co-sponsored by:

A & V Enterprises **AES Cattlewomen**

Adirondack Solidarity Alliance Alabama Cattlemen's Association

Alabama Coal Association

Alamo Ranch Co.

Alaska Forest Association Alaska Miners Association

Allen Brothers Forest Management Inc.

Alliance For America Alsea Valley Alliance American Agri-Women American Borate Co.

American Environmental Foundation American Land Rights Association

American Loggers Solidarity American Policy Center

American Wilderness Resources

Arkansas Scenic Rivers Landowner Association

Associated Industries of Vermont Associated Oregon Loggers

A W Dilley & Co. Barnum Timber Co. **Bates Construction** Beistline Inc.

Bighorn Sheep

Bill Behan Foundation

Black Hills Regional Multiple Use Coalition

Blue Ribbon Coalition Bonnell Logging Co.

Bow Tie Inc. **Brace Farms** Brizard Co.

Broughton Land Co. Brubaker-Mann Inc. **Burgess Logging**

California Association of Four Wheel Drive Clubs

California Cattlemen's Association

California Desert Coalition California Mining Association

California Off-Road Vehicle Association (CORVA)

California Outdoor Recreation League California Women in Timber, Hayfork California Women in Timber, Quincy California Women in Timber, Shasta California Women in Timber, Siskiyou

Cambior USA Inc. CA-NV Snowmobile Canoe Trip Outfitting Co.

Carroll County Property Owners Association (VA)

Central Texas Taxpayers Association Chuckwalla Mountain Desert Rats (CA)

Citizens Against Wilderness

Citizens Council of the Sleeping Bear Dunes

Citizens Equal Rights Alliance

Citizens for Constitutional Property Rights

Citizens for Private Property Rights

Citizens for Property Rights Citizens Information Network Citizens Resource Group

Cliff Wold's Canoe Trip Outfitting Company

Coalition for Property Rights

Coalition to Protect Coastal Properties Colorado Cattlemens Association **Colorado Inholders Association**

Colorado Off Highway Vehicle Coalition Communities for a Great Northwest

Concerned Alaskans for Resources & the Environment

(C.A.R.E.)

Concerned Citizens of Eureka County

Connell Ranch

Conservationists with Common Sense **Conservative Caucus Foundation**

Country Properties

Cox Ranch

Crooked Lake Northshore Association

Curry County Oregon Project

Davis Mountains Trans Pecos Heritage Association

Defenders of Property Rights

Delta Construction Double R Properties

Douglas County Advisory Council Douglas County Grassroots Association East Mojave Property Owners Association

East Perry Lumber Co.

Eastern Oregon Miners Association

Edmunson Ranch Ellis Sheep Co.

Empire State Forest Products Association

Emray Corp/Cima Cinder Quarry

Environmental Conservation Organization

Evergreen Resource Management Fairness to Land Owners Committee

Family Water Alliance

Federal Land Bank Association of Mason, TX

Ferry County Action League

Fire Island National Seashore Advisory Board

Florida Cattlemens Association

Florida Farm Bureau Florida Land Council

Forest Landowners Association Inc.

The 1999 Private Property Congressional Vote Index is co-sponsored by:

Formation Capital
Frank A. Conkling Co.
Frontiers Wyoming
Fur Commission USA

G G Armstrong & Son Ltd.

Glen Craft Villas

Gorge Resource Coalition (OR) Grassroots for Multiple Use Grassroots Information Network

Gulf Lumber Co. Gustin Corp. Hames Corp.

Hammond Ranches Haner Log Co. Inc. Harbor Enterprises Inc. Herron Lumber Inc.

Hexaco

High Desert Multiple Use Coalition
Hill Country Heritage Association
Hill Country Heritage Society
Howard Tree Farms Ltd.
Hunt Forest Products Inc.
Hunting & Angling Club
Idaho Farm Bureau Federation
Imperial County Wise Use (CA)

Independent Forest Products Association

Independent Montana Miners Inc.

Indian Springs Ranch

Indiana Hardwood Lumbermens Association

Intermountain Ranches
J & J Forest Products Inc.
Jackson Hole Hereford Ranch

Jefferson County Property Rights Alliance (WA)

Jim C. Hamer Co.

Joe M. Cullinan & Associates John F King & Sons Inc. Jordan Brothers Ranch

Kil Ranch

Klamath Alliance for Resources and Environment

(KAKE)

Landowners Association of North Dakota

L-Bar Ranch Lazy Y S Ranch

Louisiana Forestry Association Lumbermen's Association of Texas

Lynch Bros.

MacMullin Forestry & Logging

Maine Conservation Rights Institute (MECRI)

Maine Property Rights Alliance

Maughan Ranch McCuen Properties McCulloch County Property Owners Association

Midwest Trail Riders Association Minerals Evaluation Network

Mining Construction

Minnesota Landowners Rights Association

Mississippi Loggers Association

Mississippi River Inholders Association

Mobile County Landowners (AL) Montana Cattlemens Association Montana Resource Providers Coalition

Montana Trail Vehicle Riders Montana Woolgrowers Montanans for Multiple Use Montanans for Private Property Mount Sopris Hereford Ranch

Muleshoe Ranch Co. Multiple Use Association Multiple Use Land Alliance

National Association of Mining Districts

National Assoc of Reversionary Property Owners

National Hardwood Lumber Association

National Inholders Association National Outdoor Coalition

National Property Rights Commission National Republican Senatorial Committee

National Trappers Association Nevada Cattlemen's Association

Nevada Farm Bureau

New Mexico Cattle Growers Association

New Mexico Public Lands Council

New Mexico Woolgrowers Action Committee

New Mexico Woolgrowers Association

New York Blue Line Council

North Olympic Timber Action Committee

North Shore Association Northern Resources Center

Northwest Council of Governments Northwest Forestry Association Northwest Mining Association

Oklahoma Farm Bureau
Olympic Forest Products
Oregon Farm Bureau
Oregon Independent Miners
Oregon Lands Coalition
Oregonians for Food & Shelter

Oregonians in Action

Pacific Northwest Four Wheel Drive Club

Parsons Ranch Co.

Pennsylvania Landowners Association

People for the Constitution

People for the USA, Denver Chapter

The 1999 Private Property Congressional Vote Index is co-sponsored by:

People for the USA, Lucerne Chapter

Peters Ranch

Pima County Rural Property Owners

Pine River Lumber Co. Ltd. Placer Dome US Inc.

Prescott Livestock Auction

Private Landowners of Wisconsin (PLOW)

Property Owners Association of Riverside County

Property Owners Standing Together (POST)

Property Rights Alliance

Property Rights Foundation of America

Public Affairs Inc.

Public Land Users Association

Pulp & Paperworkers Resource Council (PPRC)

Quail's Nest Industries

Radar Inc.

Real Estate Nightmares

Resource Development Council

River Ranch Inc.

Riverside & Landowners Protection Coalition

Riverside Farm Bureau Roney Land & Cattle Co. Rosboro Lumber Co. Roswell Wool Operating Rovig Minerals Inc. RSG Forest Products Inc.

Saddleback Mountain Inc.

San Diego Off-Road Coalition

San Joaquin County Citizens Land Alliance Save Our Industries and Lands (SOIL)

Schlegel Ranch Co. Schmitz Ranch Sea-Fourth Properties Sierra Aggregate Co. Silverthorn LLC Singing Valley Ranch **Smith & Edwards**

South Dakota Women in Timber-Black Hills Chapter Southeastern Lumber Manufacturers Association

Southwest Oregon Miners Association

Stardust Lodge

Steen Mountain Ranch Stone Container Corp. Stone Forest Container Corp. Stop Taking Our Property (STOP)

T Hanging Heart Take Back Arkansas

Take Care/Sierra Forest Products

TEAM

Tee Bar Ranch Co. Texas Agri-Women Texas Wildlife Association Thirty One Bar Ranch

Timber Producers Association of MI & WI

Timber Products of Michigan

Tomahawk Ranch

Trans Texas Heritage Association

Transvest Inc.

TREES - Coastal Chapter Trinity River Lumber Co.

True Drilling Co. **U-C** Coatings Corp.

United Property Owners of Washington

Utah Mining Association US Taxpayers Alliance USG Forest Products V-Cross Cattle Co.

Vermont Forest Products Association

Virginians for Property Rights

W R B Realty

Walter H. Weaber Sons Inc.

Walnut Council

Washington Cattlemen's Association

Washington Commercial Forest Action Committee

Washington County Alliance (Maine) Washington Contract Loggers Association Washington Farm Forestry Association

Washington Lands Coalition

Washington Property Owners Coalition

Washington Prospectors Mining Washington Snowmobile Association Wawona Property Management Inc. Western Building Material Association

Western Mining Council

Western Resource Associates Inc. Western States Ground Water Alliance Wild Rivers Conservancy Federation

William Tripp Ranch

Willowa County Stockgrowers Wind River Multiple-Use Coalition Wisconsin Women for Agriculture

Wood Products Manufacturers Association

Woods Industry Seeks Equality

Workers of Oregon Development (WOOD)

Wyo-Ben Inc.

Wyoming Farm Bureau Federation Wyoming Heritage Society

Wyoming Livestock Roundup

Wyoming Resource Providers Coalition

Wyoming Wool Growers Yellow Ribbon Coalition ZZ-30 Big Canyon Ranch

PRIVATE PROPERTY CONGRESSIONAL VOTE INDEX SPONSORSHIP ACCEPTANCE FORM

	YES, I wish to become an off	-		roperty (Congressi	ional Vot	e Index. Her	e is my \$75 to				
	receive various	alerts and pu	blications to	keep me inf	ormed about	t governme	nt land acquisition	understand I will on, United rivate property				
	Please include Index.	membership	o in the Leag	gue of Priv	vate Prop	erty Voto	ers in my spons	orship of the Vote				
	I cannot be a co-sponsor of the Index at this time. However, I really like the Vote Index. Here's a contribution to help mail the Index to more people.											
	\$500	\$200	\$100	\$75	\$50	\$30	Other \$					
NAME_			C	ORGANIZA	ATION (if an	ıy)						
ADDRE	ESS											
CITY_					STATI	EZ	IP					
TELEP	HONE			F	AX							
E-MAII	-1			WEBSI	ГЕ							
	se contact the o	•		_	-		te Property Vo	te Index.				
C	ontact Name			Phone Number								

Please mail with your check payable to:



LEAGUE OF PRIVATE PROPERTY VOTERS PO Box 423 Battle Ground, WA 98604 (360) 687-2471 Fax (360) 687-2973 email: lppv@pacifier.com