

### 2003 Private Property Congressional Vote Index

February 2004 Fifteenth Annual Edition

> 108<sup>th</sup> Congress First Session



#### The League of Private Property Voters

### 2003 Private Property Congressional Vote Index

**Fifteenth Annual Private Property Congressional Vote Index** 

February 2004

PO BOX 423 • BATTLE GROUND WA 98604 • PHONE 360-687-2471 • FAX 360-687-2973 • EMAIL lppv@landrights.org

#### INTRODUCTION

This is the fifteenth annual edition of the Private Property Congressional Vote Index. The League of Private Property Voters (LPPV) has published the Index each year since 1989.

LPPV is a coalition of more than 600 grassroots organizations that advocate the rights of property owners, including farmers, ranchers, woodlot owners, residents of rural communities, owners of recreational property, and inholders of private property located within and adjacent to federal lands. It also includes cabin permittees, off-road vehicle owners, equestrians, snowmobilers, hunters and recreational shooters, and livestock grazers, foresters and miners who make productive use of federal lands.

Votes for this year's Index were chosen from discussions among approximately twenty five leaders of the Wise Use movement nationwide. These votes represent 2003's most important snapshots demonstrating protection of the constitutional rights of property owners against a powerful and overbearing federal government. They also show support for recreational and commercial access for federal lands, upon which many rural communities depend and all Americans share.

We encourage readers to examine the voting records of their Senators and Members of Congress. Please thank those that stood up for us, and educate the rest.

- The Editors

#### **CHAMPIONS AND ENEMIES**

A CHAMPION of Property Rights is a score of 80% or higher on the LPPV Index.

An ENEMY of Property Rights is a score of 20% or lower on the LPPV Index.

#### **Senate:**

The Senate was generally supportive. It voted in support of the Healthy Forest Initiative and to repeal the Estate Tax while also rejecting illadvised proposals to vastly expand federal spending and regulations that would have damaged private property rights and multiple use of federal lands.

There were 35 Champions of Property Rights, all Republicans and 35 Enemies of Property Rights, all Democrats.

#### **House:**

The House supported private property rights and to protect public lands access more often than not. This included votes on both recreational and commercial access. It also approved the Healthy Forest Initiative, with its superior version prevailing in the House-Senate conference and being signed into law.

There were 192 Champions of Property Rights, including 188 Republicans and Democrats Alexander (LA), John (LA), Peterson (MN) and Stenholm (TX).

There were 165 Enemies of Property Rights, including 163 Democrats and Republicans Leach(IA) and Shays (CT).

The **2003 Private Property Congressional Vote Index** is created and published by **The League of Private Property Voters**, PO Box 423, Battle Ground, Washington 98604; phone (360) 687-2471; fax (360) 687-2973; E-mail *lppv@landrights.org* © 2003 LPPV. Permission is hereby given to reprint this Index, in full or in part, provided credit is given to LPPV. Editors: Chuck Cushman and Mike Hardiman.

#### **QUOTE OF THE YEAR:**

"It took a while for some people to really understand Hillary Clinton's brilliance. We had to find a place for her, she is that good."

- Nevada Senator Harry Reid, gushing to the Washington Post newspaper on March 5, 2003. Hey Nevada! Does Harry Reid represent your views???

#### PROPERTY RIGHTS AND RELIGION:

"I was raised Episcopalian, and I ended up as a Congregationalist. I had a big fight with a local Episcopal church over a bike path. We were trying to get the bike path built. They had control of a mile and a half of railroad bed, and decided they would pursue a property rights suit to refuse to allow the bike path to be developed."

- Former Vermont Governor Howard Dean, quoted in the Washington Post newspaper, September 21, 2003.

#### THE TERRIFIC TWENTY SEVEN

Congressmen Wally Herger (R-CA) and Steve Pearce (R-NM) teamed up last year to lead the charge for private property rights at a critical moment. The Nature Conservancy and other powerful Land Trusts attempted to grab a billion dollar tax cut deal for themselves late in 2003. This would have placed them at a huge advantage over private property owners in buying and selling real estate - see excerpts of letter below, sent to Ways and Means Committee Chairman Rep. Bill Thomas, for details.

Herger, a senior member of the Ways and Means Committee, which has jurisdiction over tax policy, joined with freshman Pearce and rounded up twenty five other members to sign the following letter. The special Land Trust provision was stripped out of the bill in the House, but is still alive in the Senate as of February 2004.

November 7, 2003

Dear Chairman Thomas,

We very strongly oppose language included in S. 476, the Charitable Giving Act of 2003, which gives an unfair advantage to conservation groups over other non-profit groups and the private sector. It provides an alarming incentive to remove private lands and water rights from individuals and potentially place them into federal ownership. This is in direct contravention of some of our most closely held principles committed to private property rights, free enterprise and smaller government.

The Senate proposal would provide a capital gains tax reduction of 25% to sellers of property or water rights — but only if they sell to conservation groups. They may be pushing for a 50% capital gains reduction, which the Joint Committee on Taxation estimates will cost about a billion dollars over ten years.

We believe the Charitable Giving Act is intended to encourage and benefit faith-based institutions in their efforts to extend social services to the general public. Yet incredibly, this proposal would place those very faith-based institutions, such as churches, orphanages and private schools, at a comparative disadvantage in property purchases compared to some conservation groups and government agencies, which do not perform charitable acts.

The provisions in the Senate bill will do virtually nothing to benefit sellers of land, since the beneficiaries of this tax break will be able to reduce their offering price versus any other

potential buyer. Any tax break will result in a reduced sale price. Even worse, this proposal will likely discourage purchase offers from parties who do not receive this tax break, since all others will have to offer a premium price just to stay even.

Tax exempt "non-profits" already have significant advantages over private parties in the tax code. Some have grown into multibillion dollar multinational corporations with economic power greater than nearly any individual or organization who may also want to purchase the same property. Our concerns about the impacts of this conservation tax credit are so strong and relate so fundamentally to the principles we stand for that we would have to reconsider our support for the bill if this unnecessary, expensive and damaging proposal is included in the Conference Report.

#### Sincerely,

Rep. Todd Akin, Missouri

Rep. Roscoe Bartlett, Maryland

Rep. Rob Bishop, Utah

Rep. Kevin Brady, Texas

Rep. Chris Cannon, Utah

Rep. John Doolittle, California

Rep. Trent Franks, Arizona

Rep. Elton Gallegly, California

Rep. Scott Garrett, New Jersey

Rep. Jim Gibbons, Nevada

Rep. Sam Graves, Missouri

Rep. Jeb Hensarling, Texas

Rep. Wally Herger, California

Rep. John Hostettler, Indiana

Rep. Duncan Hunter, California

Rep. Walter Jones, North Carolina

Rep. Steve King, Iowa

Rep. Gary Miller, California

Rep. Doug Ose, California

Rep. Butch Otter, Idaho

Rep. Steve Pearce, New Mexico

Rep. Richard Pombo, California

Rep. John Shadegg, Arizona

Rep. Charlie Taylor, North Carolina

Rep. Lee Terry, Nebraska

Rep. Mac Thornberry, Texas

Rep. Greg Walden, Oregon

#### THE FABULOUS FORTY

Congressmen Richard Pombo (R-CA) and Charles Stenholm (D-TX) joined forces to send a letter with thirty nine others (excerpts below) to White House Chief of Staff Andrew Card. Pombo is Chairman of the Resources Committee and Stenholm is the top ranking Democrat on the Agriculture Committee. The letter supports reasonable wetlands regulations and enforcement of the landmark Supreme Court decision, Solid Waste Agency of Northern Cook County (SWANCC) v. United States Army Corps of Engineers - the SWANCC decision.

April 16, 2003

Dear Mr. Card,

On January 9, 2001 the U. S. Supreme Court SWANCC decision overturned the decisions of lower courts that have tried to expand federal jurisdiction under the Clean Water Act beyond "navigable waters" to include isolated wetlands that do not even have a surface connection to "navigable waters." Furthermore, the Court stated Congress chose to preserve the primary role of the states in planning the development and use of land and water resources because to do so would impinge on traditional authorities of the states.

To allow regulators and private landowners to understand the scope of Clean Water Act jurisdiction, it is imperative that rulemaking clearly and concisely define specific terms such as "isolated," "tributary," "adjacent," "impoundment" and "ordinary high water

mark." Since these terms presently are either vague or undefined, regulators in the field have vast discretion to define them in an arbitrary and inconsistent manner. This allows regulators to continue asserting federal jurisdiction over otherwise isolated waters such as vernal pools and other features such as erosion ruts and roadside ditches.

These regulations are especially onerous to small landowners who do not have the time and money to challenge the sometimes heavy-handedness of federal wetlands regulators. The 404 wetlands permit process is very time consuming and expensive. Obtaining an individual permit takes on average 788 days at a cost of \$271,000.

It is vital that the Administration move quickly with rules that clearly explain the scope of federal jurisdiction under the Clean Water Act.

Rep. Jack Kingston, Georgia

Rep. Jerry Moran, Kansas

Rep. Charlie Norwood, Georgia

Rep. Butch Otter, Idaho

Rep. Ron Paul, Texas

Rep. Steve Pearce, New Mexico

Rep. Collin Peterson, Minnesota

Rep. John Peterson, Pennsylvania

Rep. Chip Pickering, Mississippi

Rep. Richard Pombo, California

Rep. Mike Ross, Georgia

Rep. Max Sandlin, Texas

Rep. Edward Schrock, Virginia

Rep. Bill Shuster, Pennsylvania

Rep. Charles Stenholm, Texas

Rep. Billy Tauzin, Louisiana

Rep. Jim Turner, Texas

Rep. Roger Wicker, Mississippi

Rep. Don Young, Alaska

#### Sincerely,

Rep. Todd Akin, Missouri

Rep. Richard Baker, Louisiana

Rep. Gresham Barrett, South Carolina

Rep. Marion Berry, Arkansas

Rep. Rob Bishop, Utah

Rep. Allen Boyd, Florida

Rep. Sanford Bishop, Georgia

Rep. Chris Cannon, Utah

Rep. Dennis Cardoza, California

Rep. Jo Ann Davis, Virginia

Rep. John Doolittle, California

Rep. John Duncan, Tennessee

Rep. Jo Ann Emerson, Missouri

Rep. Sam Graves, Missouri

Rep. Walter Jones, North Carolina

Rep. Robin Hayes, North Carolina

Rep. Melissa Hart, Pennsylvania

Rep. Wally Herger, California

Rep. Duncan Hunter, California

Rep. Scott McInnis, Colorado

Rep. Steve King, Iowa

#### SIX SPECIAL SENATORS

Six Senators deserve special recognition for their efforts to protect private property rights against a legilative attack from the Land Grabbing Land Trusts. Senators Don Nickles (R-OK), Mike Crapo and Larry Craig (both R-ID), and Mike Enzi and Craig Thomas (both R-WY) led the charge to strip out of S.476 (Vote #3 on the Senate Scorecard) a billion dollar tax break for gigantic multinational Land Trusts such as The Nature Conservancy. Senator Tim Johnson of South Dakota was the lone Democrat to support private property rights on this vote.



## The Geague of Private Property ( Richard Pombo Representative

## of Private Property Rights CHAMPTON

In recognition of support for Private Property Rights Serve and the Wise Use of Federal Lands in the 2003 Private Property Congressional Vote Index

Charles S. Cushman, Chairman

## The George of Private Property ( John Kerry Senator

as an

# of Private Property Rights eNeMY

In recognition of achieving 0% on the 2003 Private Property Congressional Vote Index



Chuck Cushman, Chairman

#### **UNITED STATES SENATE**

The votes listed on the scorecard show how each Senator supported (+) or opposed (-) the League of Private Property Voters position. A description of each vote is listed below.

You will gain the greatest benefit by first looking up your Senator to see what his or her private property score was on the scorecard. Then read each vote description. The League's private property position listed near the top of the scorecard shows how we believe your Senator should have voted on each issue. Check to see whether your Senator supported (+) or opposed (-) the League's private property position.

#### **US SENATE VOTES**

## #1 - REPEAL DEATH TAX — Senate Vote 62 - S Con Res 23: Fiscal 2004 Budget Resolution - Estate Tax Repeal

March 20, 2003 - Kyl, R-Ariz., amendment that would accelerate repeal of the estate tax from 2010 to 2009. Adopted 51-48: R 47-4; D 4-43; I 0-1. **LPPV POSITION: YES.** 

#### #2 - ENVIRO PORK PROJECTS — Senate Vote 96 - S Con Res 23: Fiscal 2004 Budget Resolution - Environmental and Conservation Programs

March 25, 2003 - Corzine, D-N.J., amendment that would increase spending on environmental pork projects by \$1.1 billion in fiscal 2004 and \$12.4 billion over 10 years. The spending would be offset by a reduction in tax cuts. Rejected 47-52: R 1-50; D 45-2; I 1-0. **LPPV POSITION: NO.** 

#### #3 - LAND TRUST TAX BREAK — Senate Vote 127 - S 476: Sales of Land and Water Rights

April 09, 2003 – Grassley, R-Iowa, motion to table (kill) the Nickles, R-Okla., amendment. Senator Nickles amendment would have eliminated the special deal land trusts carved out for themselves in S 476 – sellers of land get a tax break - ONLY if they sell to land trusts! It allows land trusts to lower their offers to buy land and hurts churches, other non-profits and private parties. Motion agreed to 62-38: R 14-37; D 47-1; I 1-0. **LPPV POSITION: NO. LPPV DOUBLE SCORED.** 

#### #4 - REDUCE LEGAL BARRIERS TO TIIMBER SALES — Senate Vote 359 - HR 2691: Fiscal 2004 Interior Appropriations -Judicial Review of Timber Sales

September 23, 2003 - Stevens, R-Alaska, motion to table (kill) the Boxer, D-Calif., amendment that would strike a section in the bill that would provide for an expedited judicial review process for cases involving timber harvesting in the Tongass National Forest. Motion agreed to 52-44: R 46-5; D 6-38; I 0-1. **LPPV POSITION: YES.** 

#5 - REDUCE LEGAL BARRIERS TO FOREST THINNING — Senate Vote 423 - HR 1904: Forest Thinning - Judicial Review October 30, 2003 - Cochran, R-Miss., motion to table (kill) the Leahy, D-Vt., amendment no. 2039 that would strike sections in the bill that deal with administrative and judicial reviews. Leahy amendment would have greatly increased court delays. Motion agreed to 62-33: R 51-0; D 11-32; I 0-1. LPPV POSITION: YES.

## #6 - PROTECT RURAL AREAS WITH FOREST THINNING — Senate Vote 424 - HR 1904: Forest Thinning - Fire Reduction Project Funds

October 30, 2003 - Crapo, R-Idaho, motion to table (kill) the Boxer, D-Calif., amendment no. 2043 that would increase the percentage of funds used to reduce fire danger close to atrisk communities from 50 percent to 70 percent.

This was an attempt by Senator Boxer to protect urban interface only, reducing fire protection for rural areas. Motion agreed to 61-34: R 50-1; D 11-32; I 0-1. **LPPV POSITION: YES.** 

before forest management could begin. It was intended to delay forest protection measures. Motion agreed to 57-34: R 46-3; D 11-30: I 0-1. **LPPV POSITION: YES.** 


#### #7 - REDUCE DELAYS FOR FOREST THINNING — Senate Vote 426 - HR 1904: Forest Thinning - Environmental Impact Statements

October 30, 2003 - Crapo, R-Idaho, motion to table (kill) the Cantwell, D-Wash., amendment that would require the Comptroller General to study the costs and benefits of the analysis of alternatives in environmental assessments and environmental impact statements. Cantwell amendment would have required more studies

#### #8 - FARMLAND LAND GRAB — Senate Vote 442 - HR 2673: Fiscal 2004 Agriculture Appropriations - Conservation Reserve Program

November 06, 2003 - Leahy, D-Vt., amendment no. 2119 that would bar the Agriculture Department from apportioning funds made available from other conservation programs to fund technical assistance for the Conservation Reserve Program. Rejected 38-56: R 10-39; D 27-17; I 1-0. **LPPV POSITION: NO.** 

#### A SIERRA CLUB VIEW OF THE UNITED STATES



#### **SENATE SCORECARD**

				LAND TRUST T.	REDUCELEG.	"BER SALES ARRIERS " TO FORE LEG	PROTECT RUIS	PEDUCE DE L'ANEAS FOREST THINNING	,	m
SENAT	E KEY			Ę,	$R_{E_{i}}$	AR.	<i>\$</i>	A V V	FARMLAND LAND GRAE	र्रे
+ Supported Priva	te Property position		Žχ	õ	₩ *^	SA/S	<b>8</b> ≥ ≥	78	S 5 6	
<ul> <li>Opposed Private</li> </ul>	e Property position		Į.	E ;		THE T	₹ <u>`</u>	ZE :		
X Did not vote (co I Ineligible to vote	unts as negative)	Ž	Ŧ ġ	15/	$\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{O}}$			18.37 E. 19.		
		REPEALDES	. 4						F ₹	
		<u> </u>	<i>\</i> C	96			7 7 7			
		Ę.	جَج ﴿	40	SEL OT	OF F	A Z	OE OR	'A'	
	% AGREED	4	44	70				7	4	
SENATOR LF	ALL VOTES PPV POSITION:	1 Y	2 N	3 N	4 Y	5 Y	6 Y	7 Y	8 N	
Alabama										
Sessions, J. (R)	100%	_	+	_	_	_	_	_	+	
Shelby (R)	100%		+	+			Ī	+	+	
Alaska	10070	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
Murkowski, L. (R)	) 100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
Stevens (R)	78%	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	
Arizona	. 0 / 0	-	-		•	•	-	-	-	
Kyl (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
McCain (R )	67%	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	
Arkansas										
Lincoln (D)	56%	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	
Pryor (D)	44%	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	
California										
Boxer (D)	11%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	
Feinstein (D )	44%	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	
Colorado										
Allard (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
Campbell (R)	89%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X	
Connecticut										
Dodd (D )	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Lieberman (D)	0%	-	-	-	X	X	X	X	X	
Delaware	00/									
Biden (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Carper (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Florida	0%									
Graham, B. (D ) Nelson, Bill (D )	11%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Georgia	1170	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Chambliss (R)	100%	+	_	_	_	_	_	_	+	
Miller, Z. (D)	44%	X	+ X	-	+		Ĭ	+	X	
Hawaii	7770	^	^		-	т	т	т	X	
Akaka (D )	0%	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Inouye (D)	22%	_	_	_	+	_	_	_	+	
Idaho	/0				•				-	
Craig (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
Crapo (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
Illinois	<del>-</del>									
Durbin (D )	11%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	
Fitzgerald (R)	78%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	
. ,										

				Ŋ	REDUCELEGA	REDUCELEGA	PROTECT RILL WITH ECT RILL	Ø.		
SENA	ATE KEY		ENVIRO PORU	ECT	REA	RRIE	RRIE	PEDUCE DEL A	FARINLAND LAND GP	<b>थ</b> र
	vate_Property position	REPEALDE	XX	δ,	₩ <b>*</b> 0	BAS	4 × ×	7 <u>\$</u>	S 6 6	•
- Opposed Priva X Did not vote (	ate Property position counts as negative)		Z S		K W	474	₹ <u>₹</u>	\$ ?		
I Ineligible to vo		į,	8	S	$\zeta_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{C}}}^{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{C}}}$	P.S.	7 7 8	ES		
		7	0	E H	y Wa	u u u			\$	
		Ä	8	28			, 5 <u>7</u>	PE	RM	
	% AGREED	P.	₽	78	A.0	£'0	žΣ	₹0	Z	
	ALL VOTES	1	2	3	4	5	ь	1	8	
	LPPV POSITION:	Y	N	N	Y	Υ	Υ	Y	N	
Indiana										
Bayh (D)	11%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	
Lugar (R )	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
lowa	700/									
Grassley (R)	78%	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	
Harkin (D)	11%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	
Kansas	700/	_	_		_			_	_	
Brownback (R)	78% 78%	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	
Roberts (R)	70%	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	
Kentucky	100%									
Bunning(R) McConnell(R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	<b>T</b>	
Louisiana	100 /0	т	T	Т	т	т	т	т	T	
Breaux (D)	44%	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Landrieu (D )	44%	_	- -	_	+	T	_		_	
Maine	77 /0				т	т	т	т		
Collins, S. (R)	44%	_	+	_	_	+	+	+	-	
Snowe (R)	44%	_	·	_	_	·	·	·	_	
Maryland	11,0		-			•	•	•		
Mikulski (D )	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Sarbanes (Ď )	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Massachusetts										
Kennedy, E. (D)	) 0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Kerry, J. (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	X	X	X	-	
Michigan \( \)										
Levin, C. (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stabenow (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Minnesota										
Coleman (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
Dayton (D)	44%	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	
Mississippi										
Cochran (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
Lott (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
Missouri	000/							V		
Bond (R)	89%	+	+	+	+	+	+	X	+	
Talent(R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
Montana	EG0/		_					_	_	
Baucus, M. (D)	56% 100%	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	
Burns, C. (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	

			ENVIROPOD.	ွှ	REDUCE LEG	REDUCE LEGAL	PROTECT PHINNING IERS WITH ECT RUS	REDUCE DEL AREAS FORCE DEL A		
SENAT	E KEY			ECI	RE,	RR/II	<i>8 8 8</i>	R NE NE	FARMLAND LAND GRAB	1
+ Supported Priva	te Property position		Ž.	Q .	# *0	SA/	\$\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	7 <b>§</b>	SF.	
	Property position		Ë,		A H	74 1 14 1	₹≸ !	ZE S		
I Ineligible to vote	unts as negative) at the time	<u>i</u>	ξ, ά	185				ES'S		
		REPEALDE	) 4	E 1			EC,	S W		
		Ž	<i>(</i> )	88		i žõ	0,4	Z ES	י	
	°	RE	<i>[</i> ]	40	7. P. F.	7. P.	\$ \$	PA	4	
	% AGREED ALL VOTES	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
SENATOR LP	PV POSITION:	Υ	N	N	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	N	
Nebraska										
Hagel (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
Nelson, Ben (D)	33%	+	-	-	+	Χ	Χ	X	+	
Nevada										
Ensign (R )	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
Reid, H. (D )	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
New Hampshire										
Gregg (R)	44%	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	
Sununu(R)	67%	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	X	
New Jersey	201									
Corzine (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Lautenberg (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
New Mexico	00/									
Bingaman (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Domenici (R ) New York	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
Clinton (D)	0%							X		
Schumer (D)	0%	_	_	_	_	_	<u>-</u>	_	_	
North Carolina	0 70	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Dole (R)	89%	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Edwards, J. (D)	0%	-	-	-	X	X	X	X	X	
North Dakota	070				Λ.	<b>7</b>	^	^	χ	
Conrad (D)	11%	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	+	
Dorgan (D )	22%	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	
Ohio					_				_	
DeWine (R)	56%	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	
Voinovich (Ŕ )	67%	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	
Oklahoma ` ´										
Inhofe(R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
Nickles (Ŕ)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
Oregon										
Smith, G. (R)	78%	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	
Wyden (D)	44%	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	
Pennsylvania										
Santorum (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
Specter (R)	67%	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	
Rhode Island	000/									
Chafee (R)	33%	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	
Reed, J. (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

				6	*	REDUCELEGAL	PROTECT RIID	S		
SENAT	TE KEY			LAND TRUST T.	REDUCELEGA.	RAIE	RRIE G	REDUCE DELANING	FARMLAND LAND GRAB	
+ Supported Priva	ate Property position	REPEALDE	XX.	Ž,	XO B	BA S	A N	7 × ×	8 6 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	
	e Property position bunts as negative)		Į.		A H		₹\$ \$	\$ £		
I Ineligible to vote		Ä	<b>Y</b> 8		$\zeta_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{C}}}}^{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{C}}}}$	P.S.	7 2	ES	<b>E</b> 10	
		46	0	E H	H SE				. ₹	
		ji Li	Ž,	<b>\$</b> \$	) Qi Qi	30	Į Į	ED PRE	RM	
	% AGREED	A.	<b>₽</b>	70	₹2	₹2	€\$	50	₹.	
05114700	ALL VOTES	1	2	3	4	5	6	1	8	
SENATOR L	PPV POSITION:	Y	N	N	Υ	Υ	Y	Y	N	
South Carolina										
Graham, L. (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
Hollings (D) 1	0%	-	-	-	-	X	X	X	-	
South Dakota	4.407									
Daschle (D)	44%	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	
Johnson, Tim (D)	) 67%	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	
Tennessee	700/									
Alexander, L. (R)		+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	
Frist (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
Texas	4000/								_	
Cornyn (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
Hutchison, K. (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
Utah	4.000/	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Bennett (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
Hatch (R ) Vermont	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
	0%									
Jeffords (I ) Leahy (D )	0%	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	-	
Virginia	0 /0	_	_	-	_	-	_	_	-	
Allen, G. (R)	100%	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Warner (R)	89%			T _	+	+	+	+ X	+	
Washington	0370	т.	-	т	-	т	т	^	т	
Cantwell (D)	0%	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Murray (D)	0%	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
West Virginia	070									
Byrd (D )	0%	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Rockefeller (D)	11%	_	_	_	_	_	+	Χ	_	
Wisconsin	,0						•	71		
Feingold (D )	11%	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	+	
Kohl (D)	11%	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	+	
Wyoming										
Enzi (R )	89%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	
Thomas, C. (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
, , ,										

#### UNITED STATES HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

The votes listed below show how each Representative supported (+) or opposed (-) the League of Private Property Voters position. A description of each vote is listed below.

You will gain the greatest benefit by first looking up your Representative to see what his or her private property score was on the scorecard. Then read each vote description. The League's private property position listed near the top of the scorecard shows how we believe your Representative should have voted on each issue. Check to see whether your Representative supported (+) or opposed (-) the League's private property position.

#### **US HOUSE VOTES**

## #1-PERMIT ANWR ENERGY EXPLORATION — House Vote 134 - HR 6: Energy Plan ANWR

April 10, 2003 - Wilson, R-N.M., amendment that would open up ANWR (Arctic National Wildlife Refuge) with reasonable environmental protections. Adopted 226-202: R 196-30; D 30-171; I 0-1. **LPPV POSITION: YES.** 

## #2 - RAISE TAXES FOR OIL EXPLORATION — House Vote 142 - HR 6: Energy Plan Royalty Payments

April 11, 2003 - Kind, D-Wis., amendment that would strike provisions that reduce royalty payments on oil and gas leases. Rejected 171-251:R9-214; D 161-37; I 1-0. **LPPV POSITION: NO.** 

## #3 - RESTRICT RURAL FOREST THINNING — House Vote 198 - HR 1904: Forest Thinning - Democratic Substitute

May 20, 2003 - Miller, D-Calif., substitute amendment that would allow forest thinning projects without environmental reviews within one-half mile of urban areas and on land located near municipal water supplies. Other forest thinning activities would be subject to the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act. Rep. Miller was attempting to limit forest management to "urban interface" areas only, leaving rural areas exposed to further devastating wildfires. Rejected 184-239: R 11-214; D 172-25; I 1-0. **LPPV POSITION: NO.** 

## #4 - INCREASE LEGAL DELAYS FOR FOREST THINNING — House Vote 199 - HR 1904: Forest Thinning – Recommit

May 20, 2003 - Udall, D-N.M., motion to increase legal roadblocks to forest management in the Healthy Forest Initiative. It would have eliminated provisions that would speed up judicial review of court decisions that challenge wildfire prevention projects, and would require a court considering a request for an injunction against an agency action to give special weight to agency findings that the action is necessary to avoid long term harm to the ecosystem. Motion rejected 176-250: R 0-226; D 175-24; I 1-0. **LPPV POSITION: NO.** 

#### #5 - REPEAL DEATH TAX — House Vote 288 - HR 8: Estate Tax Repeal - Passage

June 18, 2003 - Passage of the bill that would make permanent the repeal of the estate tax contained in the 2001 tax cut law (PL 107-16) and which is set to expire after 2010. Passed 264-163: R 223-4; D 41-158; I 0-1. **Note:** A "yea" was a vote in support of the president's position. **LPPV POSITION: YES.** 

## #6 - LIMIT FUNDS TO UINITED NATIONS — House Vote 365 - HR 1950: State Department Authorization - Cap on U.N. Contributions

July 15, 2003 - King, R-Iowa, amendment that would cap the U.S. contribution to the U.N. regular budget to no more than the amount paid by any other permanent member of the Security Council. Amendment would have helped limit the UN from imposing international zoning designations. Rejected 187-237: R 173-50; D 14-186; I 0-1. **LPPV POSITION: YES.** 

#### **#7-ELIMINATE KLAMATH BASIN FARMING** — House Vote 380 - HR 2691: Fiscal 2004 **Interior Appropriations - Klamath Wildlife** Refuge

July 17, 2003 - Blumenauer, D-Ore., amendment that would bar funds from being used to enter into new agricultural leases that allow growing row crops or alfalfa in Oregon's and California's Lower Klamath and Tule Lake National Wildlife Refuges. Rep. Blumenauer was striking a blow against Klamath Basin farmers. Rejected 197-228: R 20-205; D 176-23; I 1-0. LPPV POSITION: NO.

#### #8 - CUT LAND GRABS, MORE FIRE FIGHTING — House Vote 381 - HR 2691: Fiscal 2004 Interior Appropriations -**Additional Firefighting Funds**

July 17, 2003 - Shadegg, R-Ariz., amendment that would provide an additional \$19 million in Forest Service firefighting funds and offset the cost with a reduction in funds for land acquisition. Rejected 128-298: R 119-107; D 9-190; I 0-1. **LPPV POSITION: YES.** 

#### **#9 - ELIMINATE SNOWMOBILES** IN YELLOWSTONE — House Vote 385 - HR 2691: Fiscal 2004 Interior Appropriations -Snowmobiles in Yellowstone and Grand **Teton Parks**

July 17, 2003 - Holt, D-N.J., amendment that would block funds from being used to do anything inconsistent with the phase out of recreational snowmobile use in Yellowstone and Grand Teton National Parks. Rejected 210-210: R 25-194; D 185-15; I 0-1. POSITION: NO.

#### **#10 - CODIFY ROADLESS PUBLIC LOCKUP** — House Vote 386 - HR 2691: Fiscal 2004 **Interior Appropriations - Roadless Lands** July 17, 2003 - Inslee, D-Wash., amendment that would prohibit funds from being used to change the Clinton Roadless Area Conservation

Rule closing thousands of miles of roads and millions of acres. A "nay" vote was a vote in support of the president's position. Rejected 185-234: R 20-200; D 164-34; I 1-0. POSITION: NO.

#### #11 - RS 2477 PUBLIC LANDS ACCESS ROADS — House Vote 388 - HR 2691: Fiscal 2004 Interior Appropriations - Rights-of-**Way on Public Lands**

July 17, 2003 - Taylor, R-N.C., amendment to the Udall, D-Colo., amendment. The Taylor amendment would permit "disclaimers of interest," which allow the Bureau of Land Management to grant local governments rights-of-way on public lands without congressional approval, in national monument, park and wilderness areas. The Udall amendment would prohibit funds from being used to carry out disclaimers of interest. Adopted 226-194: R 206-14; D 20-179; I 0-1. LPPV POSITION: YES.

#### **#12 - CHEROKEE INDIAN LAND EXCHANGE** — House Vote 512 - HR 1409: Indian Land **Exchange - Passage**

September 23, 2003 - Renzi, R-Ariz., motion to suspend the rules and pass the bill that would allow for a land exchange between the federal government and the Cherokee Indian tribe in Great Smoky Mountains National Park in North Carolina. The government would receive 218 acres of private land and the Cherokee Indians would receive 143 acres of land in the park. The land would be used for a new Cherokee Indian school and campus. Motion agreed to 288-127: R 216-4; D 72-122; I 0-1. POSITION: YES.

#### HOUSE SCORECARD

			_				" FOREST THINNING AYS		L ELIMINATE I	CUTLAND CONTRESIN	ELIMINATE MORE	CODIFY ROSTONE MOBILES			MGE MDIAN LAND
	HOUSE	KEY		ENERGY	RESTRICT		75	2	\$	B	Q	BIL	RS 2477 PUB. ACCEST PUB.	CHERONEE M.	₹
+	Supported Priva	te Property position		ĒR	0	. (5	م الإ	<u> </u>	2	477	Z	NO NO	SS	₹	<b>*</b>
<u>-</u> _	Opposed Private	Property position		¥	ő	₹	₹₹	Ž	Sa	Ą,	₽ Be	S N N	TO LE	200	Z Z
X	Did not vote (cou	unts as negative)		\$ <b>≥</b> ≥	ું ≥	\$\$ \	7.1	£ ;	<i>§</i> ∫	$\geq$	ૡૢૢૢૢૢૢૢઌ	Ž,0 ,		79 3	<b>₹</b>
1	mengible to vote	at the time	₹			E I		Ž Ž	4			XX S	§		, W
			PERMIT ANIM.	\$ 2	784 C	INCREASE THINNING	80 -	» LIMIT TAX	\$ \$	S S S	₹ §	5 6	3 6		<u> </u>
			8.6 Z.	SE									774	是是	<del>}</del>
		% AGREED	# X	<b>XX</b>	P. P. P.	` <b>ĕ</b> ₽	Æ	38	4	?} }	# <u>₹</u>	03	₹\$ \$7	53	
		ALL VOTES	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
REPRE	SENTATIVE	LPPV POSITION:	Υ	N	N	N	Υ	Υ	N	Υ	N	N	Υ	Υ	
Alabai															
4	Aderholt (R)	92%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	
6 1	Bachus, S. (R) Bonner (R)	83% 92%	+	+ +	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	
5	Cramer (D)	75%	+	+	÷	+	+	+		_	-	+	÷	+	
7	Davis, A. (D)	33%	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	
2	Everett (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
3	Rogers, Mike D	o. (R ) 92%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	
<b>Alaska</b> AL	Young, D. (R)	92%		Х	+	_	_	+			+		+	+	
Arizon	10011g, D. (N.)	3Z /0	+	^	т	+	+	т	+	+	7	+	т	т	
6	Flake (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
2	Franks, T. (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
7	Grijalva (D )	0%		-	-	-	-	- V	-	-				-	
5 8	Hayworth (R) Kolbe (R)	92% 92%	+	+ +	+	+	+	X	+ +	+ +	+	+	+	+	
4	Pastor (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	X	
1	Renzi (R )	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
. 3	Shadegg (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
Arkan		670/													
1 3	Berry (D ) Boozman (R )	67% 92%	+	+ +	+ +	+	+ +	+	+	-	+ +	+	+	+	
4	Ross (D)	58%	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	_	-	+	+	-	
2	Snvder (Ď )	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Califor		4007													
43 31	Baca (D ) Becerra (D )	42% 8%	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	
28	Berman (D)	0%		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	X	X	X	+	
45	Bono (R)	75%	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	
44	Calvert (Ŕ )	92%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	
23	Capps (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
18 48	Cardoza (D ) Cox (R )	50% 100%		+	+	+	+		+	-	+	+		+	
50	Cunningham (F	R) 100%	+ +	+	+	+	+	+	+ +	+	+	+	+	+	
53	Davis, S. (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
20	Dooley (D)	50%	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	
4	Doolittle (R )	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
26 14	Dreier (R ) Eshoo (D )	83% 0%	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	-	+	+	+	+	
17	Farr (D)	8%	-	_	_	-	+	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	
51	Filner (Ď )	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
24	Gallegly (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
36	Harman (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2 15	Herger (R ) Honda (D )	100% 8%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
52	Hunter (R)	92%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X	
49	Issa (R )	92%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	
12	Lantos (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
9 41	Lee (D )	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
41 16	Lewis, Jerry (R Lofgren (D)	) 83% 0%	+	+	+	+	+ X	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	
5	Matsui (D )	8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	
25	McKeon (Ŕ)	83%	+	+	+	+	+		+	-	+	+	+	+	
37	Millender-McDo	onald (D ) 0%	-	-	-	-	-	Χ	X	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	-	
7 42	Miller, George ( Miller, Gary (R		+	+	X	X	+	+	- +	-	+	+	+	+	
<del></del>	winion, Gary (N	, 13/0	7	Т	^	^	Т	т	т	_	т'	т	т	т	

							S		L ELIMINATE IN UNITED	CUTLAND C	ELIMINATES, MORE	ડ્ડ			
	HOUSE	KEV	]	KAISE TAXES			" OREST THINNING	<b>b</b>	<i>`</i> }	BA	ELIMINATES, MORE	S CODIEY ROAL PUBLICY ROAL	٥	CHEROKEE INC.	TOE TOWN LAND
+		Property position		ER.	RESTRICT		₩ Z Z	O LIMIT TAX	2	Ŧ	, M	0,	Š	<b>₹</b>	<b>'</b>
-	Opposed Private	Property position		<b>₩</b>	õ	% 248	₹₹	Z	SQ	AM,	185	ZN NA	ξής Ο	200	ड्रे
X	Did not vote (cour Ineligible to vote a	nts as negative)		£ ≥ 3	ž ≥ 1	\$ <b>\$</b>	9/L	£ ;	ی کج	<i>}</i>	30 3				
1	ineligible to vote a		\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \	47,4		H K	ES		, H			ROS S	<b>₹</b> 2	RO,	<u> </u>
			Z Z	3 40		INCREASE THINNING	Ş ₹		S S	\$ \$?	Ę <u>₹</u>				
			E P	4/S	EST		, d			54			SS	#5 #5	
		% AGREED	可以	及政	A.T.	₹'n	RE	7≯	$\Pi \overline{A}$	OF	¥≷	S	A. 40	ST	
DEDDE	CENTATIVE	ALL VOTES LPPV POSITION:	1 Y	2 N	3 N	4 N	5 Y	6 Y	7 N	8 Y	9 N	10 N	11 Y	12 Y	
		LPPV POSITION:	Y	N	N	N	Ť	T	N	Ť	N	N	Ť	Ť	
38	rnia (Continued) Napolitano (D)	0%	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	-	
21	Nunes (R)	92%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
3 8	Ose (R ) Pelosi (D )	92% 0%	+	+	+	+	+	-	+ X	+ X	+	+	+	+	
11	Pombo (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
19	Radanovich (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
46 34	Rohrabacher (R Roybal-Allard (D		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
40	Royce (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
39	Sanchez, Linda	(D) 8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	
47 29	Sanchez, Loretta Schiff (D)	à (Ď ) 0% 0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
29 27	Sherman (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	
32	Solis (D ) `	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
13	Stark (D ) Tauscher (D )	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
10 22	Thomas, B. (R)	0% 83%	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	
1	Thompson, M. ([	O) 8%	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
35	Waters (D)	8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	
33 30	Watson (D´) Waxman (D )	8% 0%	-	X	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	
6	Woolsey (D )	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Χ	
Colora		000/	_												
7 1	Beauprez (R ) DeGette (D )	92% 0%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	
5	Hefley (R`)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
3	McInnis (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
4 6	Musgrave (Ŕ ) Tancredo (Ŕ )	100% 100%	+	+ +	+ +	+	+ +	+	+	+ +	+ +	+ +	+	+	
2	Udall, M. (D)	0%	-	-	<u>.</u>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	ecticut	201													
3 5	DeLauro (D ) Johnson, N. (R )	0% 33%	-	+	+	+	-	X	-	-	-	-	-	+	
1	Larson, J. (D)	8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	-	+	
4	Shays (R)	17%	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2 <b>Delaw</b>	Simmons (R)	33%	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	
AL	Castle (R)	58%	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	
Florida	a														
9 2	Bilirakis (R ) Boyd (D )	100% 50%	+ +	+ +	+ +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ +	+	+	
3	Brown, C. (D)	8%	-	-	-	-	_	_	_	-	_	-	-	+	
5	Brown-Waite (R	) 100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
4 11	Crenshaw (R ) Davis, Jim (D )	92% 0%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	
20	Davis, Jilli (D) Deutsch (D)	0% 0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
25	Diaz-Balart, M. (	R) 92%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	
21	Diaz-Balart, L. (F		+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	
24 16	Feeney (R ) Foley (R )	100% 92%	+ +	+ +	+	+	+ +	+	+	+	+ +	+ +	+	+	
14	Goss (R )	75%	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	÷	+	
13	Harris (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
23 8	Hastings, A. (D) Keller (R)	0% 100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	- +	+	+	+	+	
17	Meek, K. (D)	17%	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	

						NCREASE / TOWN	S		LELININATE TO UNITED	CUTLAND	<b>L</b> u	CODIFY ROSTONE			-
	HOUSE KEY	Y		ENERGY	RESTRICT		Ę	•	_₹	184	ELIMINATES MORE	BIL	RS 2477 PUB. CCKUP	CHEROKEE IND.	VGE VDIAN LAND
+	Supported Private Prop			VER	0	INCREASE I		O LIMIT TAX	5	747	S, R	<b>8</b>	SS	₹ .	<b>7</b>
X	Opposed Private Propo Did not vote (counts as	erty position	١,	W _	0,	A N	\$ £	7 7	Š	₹ Ž	AB	<b>Ş</b> Ş	10E	ပို့တွင်	25
I	Ineligible to vote at the	time			S20 .		7.E	¥ 1	<b>)</b>	₹ (			₹9 €	74 × 1	
			\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \	\\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\	A S	15. 15.	REG	ž \ <del>\$</del>	874	່ຽ ≨ີ	E E	30	7 4	KE KE	<u>u</u>
			EXPLOS ANIA	S W		REST TE				\$ 5 <u>i</u>			2 7 6	1 8 4 4 4 6 4	·
	•	% AGREED	A E	25		£ 00 ≥00	E P		AR.	58		් ලීදු	80	#35 5.5	
		ALL VOTES	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
REPRE		POSITION:	Υ	N	N	N	Υ	Υ	N	Υ	N	N	Υ	Y	
	(Continued)	/													
7 1	Mica (R ) Miller, J. (R )	92% 100%	+	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+	- +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	
12	Putnam (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	
18 22	Ros-Lehtinen (R ) Shaw (R )	83% 92%	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	- +	+ +	-	+	+ +	+ +	+ +	
6	Stearns (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
15 19	Weldon, D. (R) Wexler (D)	100% 0%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
10	Young, Č.W. (R)	92%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	
Georgi 2	a Bishop, S. (D)	50%	+	+	+	_	+	_	+	_	_	+	_	_	
12	Burns, M. (R)	92%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	
8 10	Collins, M. (R ) Deal (R )	100% 100%	+ +	+ +	+	+	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	
11	Gingrey (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
6 1	Isakson (R ) Kingston (R )	92% 100%	+ +	+	+	+	+ +	+ +	+ +	-	+	+	+ +	+	
5	Lewis, John (D)	0%	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	X	
7	Linder (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
4 3	Majette (Ď ) Marshall (D )	0% 42%	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	
9	Norwood (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
13 <b>Hawaii</b>	Scott, D. (D)	58%	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	
1	Abercrombie (D )	33%	+	-	X	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	
2 <b>Idaho</b>	Case (D )	8%	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	
1 2	Otter (R)	92%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X	
∠ Illinois	Simpson (R)	92%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	
13	Biggert (R)	67%	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	
12 8	Costello (D ) Crane (R )	8% 100%	+	+	+	+	+ +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
7	Davis, D. (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
5 17	Emanuel (D ) Evans (D )	0% 0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	X	X	-	
4	Gutierrez (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
14 6	Hastert (R ) <b>SPEAKE</b> l Hyde (R )	R <b>N/A</b> 83%	X +	X +	X +	X +	+ +	X	X +	X	X +	X +	X +	X +	
2	Jácksòn, J. (D )	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
15 10	Johnson, Timothy (R) Kirk (R)	) 25% 33%	-	-	-	+	+ +	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	
18	LaHòod́ (R )	92%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	
3 16	Lipinski (D ) Manzullo (R )	8% 83%	+	- +	X	+	- +	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	
1	Rush (D )	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
9 19	Schakowsky (D ) Shimkus (R )	8% 100%	+	- +	+	+	- +	+	+	- +	+	+	+	+ +	
11	Weller (R`)	83%	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	
Indiana 5	a Burton (R )	92%	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	+	+	+	+	
4	Buyer (R )	92%	+	+	+	+	+	+	Χ	+	+	+	+	+	
7 2	Carson, J. (D ) Chocola (R )	8% 100%	- +	- +	- +	- +	X +	- +	- +	- +	- +	- +	- +	+ +	
_	J.1000.ta (11)	100/0	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	

							S		ELIMINATE KO	N/S		CODIFY ROAL			
	HOUSE	KFY	]	RAISE TAXES	~/		" PREST THINNING YS	)	17/5	BA	ELIMINATE S. MORE	BILE	RS 2477 PUBLESS ACCEST PUBL	S CHEROKEE INDIS	SE SANLAND
+		e Property position		E. E.	RESTRICT BY		" OREST THINNING	×	2	Ĕ	Ä	0,	K.	<b>₩</b>	<b>'</b>
-	Opposed Private	Property position		Ž,	õ	<b>4</b> ₹	₹\$	Z,	တို	Z Z	BS	ŽΨ	OC.	7	<u>इ</u>
X	Did not vote (cou	nts as negative)	\$	<sup>2</sup> ≥ ≥	u o≥ :	\$ <i>\$</i>	9,2	Æ :	<b>₹</b> \$	<i>Y y</i>	\$ 0 £	\$ Q {		ž <u>o</u>	?
I	Ineligible to vote a	at the time	×				18:		, W	. 0		\$ 6 \$	§ \$ \$	16 A	Ψ
				\$ 2	\$ \$ 500 C	INCREASE LE	7	\ \Bar{\Bar{\Bar{\Bar{\Bar{\Bar{\Bar{	\$ \$	১ <b>১</b> ১ ১	\$ \frac{1}{2}	ק ה	3 6		?
			Z Z	Sign	SY		, $\vec{\mu}$						77	是	
		% AGREED	#X	\$\frac{1}{2}	A 0	ŽĎ.	Œ	_ <u></u>	可至	3₹	¥ <u>`</u>	ర్మవై	₹5,	52	
		ALL VOTES	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
REPRE	SENTATIVE	LPPV POSITION:	Y	N	N	N	Υ	Υ	N	Υ	N	N	Υ	Υ	
	a (Continued)														
9 8	Hill (D ) Hostettler (R )	8% 92%	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	- -	+	-	
6	Pence (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
3	Souder (R)	92%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Χ	+	+	+	
1 Jowa	Visclosky (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
lowa 3	Boswell (D)	25%	_	-	Χ	Χ	+	_	_	_	_	+	_	+	
5	King, S. (R )	92%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
4	Latham (R)	100% 17%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
2 1	Leach (R) Nussle (R)	83%	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	
Kansa	s `´´		·			,,	·		•				·	·	
3	Moore (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
1 2	Moran, Jerry (R Ryun, J. (R)	) 100% 100%	+	+ +	+ +	+ +	+	+ +	+	+ +	+	+	+ +	+	
4	Tiahrt (R )	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
Kentuc		000/													
6 2	Fletcher (R) Lewis, R. (R)	92% 100%	+	+	+ +	+ +	+	+	+ +	+	+	+ +	+	+	
4	Lucas, K. (D)	67%	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	· <u>-</u>	+	+	-	-	
3	Northup (R)	92%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	
5 1	Rogers, H. (R) Whitfield (R)	92% 92%	+	+ +	+ +	+ +	+	+ +	+	-	+	+	+ +	+ +	
Louisia		3270	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	'	•	•	
5	Alexander, R. (D	9) 83%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	
6 2	Baker (R ) Jefferson (D )	92% 17%	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+ X	X	+ X	+ X	+ X	+	
7	John (D )	83%	+	÷	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	÷	
4	McCrery (R)	92%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	
3 1	Tauzin (R ) Vitter (R )	92% 100%	+	+ +	+ +	+ +	+	+ +	+	+	+	+ +	+ +	+	
Maine	vitter (iv)		-		Т		т.	Т.	т.	Т.		Т.		т.	
1	Allen, T. (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2 <b>Maryla</b>	Michaud (D )	8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	
6	Bartlett (R)	92%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
3	Cardin (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
7 1	Cummings (D) Gilchrest (R)	8% 67%	-	- +	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	- +	+	
5	Hoyer (D )	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	×	Χ	-	-	-	-	
2	Ruppersberger (	(D) 8%	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	Χ	
8 4	Van Hollen (D ) Wynn (D )	0% 25%	-	- +	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-		-	
	chusetts			•			•			•					
8	Capuano (D )	8%	- V	-	-	- V	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	
10 4	Delahunt (D ) Frank, B. (D )	0% 8%	X	-	-	X	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	
9	Lynch (D)	8%	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	
7	Markey (Ď )	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
3 5	McGovern (D ) Meehan (D )	0% 8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	
2	Neal (D )	8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	
1	Olver (D)	8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	
6	Tierney (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

			_			NCREASE I	Š		LELIMINATE:	CUTLAND CHAMATH BASIN	ELIMINATE MORE	CODIFY ROUS ONE WOBILES			0
	HOUSE	KEY		RAISE TAXES	RESTRICT		35	2	_₹	, B	<b>10</b> R	$B_{IL}$	RS 2477 PUB. ACCEST PUB.	CHEROKEE W.	WGE WDIAN LAND
+	Supported Private	e Property position		₩.	δ.	~O	<b>Ž</b> Ž	*	5	147	Š	M.	SS	₹	<b>}</b>
X	Opposed Private Did not vote (cou	Property position		¥ ~~	0,	\$ \$ \$	8 E	7	Š	Ā.	AB	0 ZZ	7€ 707	So i	<i>1</i> 0
I	Ineligible to vote	at the time		§ §	g <u></u>	5 × ×	37.	Y 1	<u> </u>	\$ 6	& & 5 ≥	500	₹9 4	740	<u> </u>
			\ \f\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \	2 2	7 5 7 5	INCREASE I	N W	» LIMIT TAX	874	'υ <u>ξ</u>	E E	20 8	7 4	KE.	<b>₹</b>
				S A C		RES THE		5		\$ 2 <u>1</u>			7 X Y	1 8 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	-
		% AGREED	A K	25		. So \$'0	W W	34	FL	. 28 28	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	. <i>0</i> 2	$s_{\Omega}$	#\(\frac{1}{2}\)	
		ALL VOTES	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
REPRI	ESENTATIVE	LPPV POSITION:	Υ	N	N	N	Υ	Υ	N	Υ	N	N	Υ	Υ	
Michi															
4 14	Camp (R ) Conyers (D )	92% 8%	+	+	+ X	+ X	+ X	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	
15	Dingell (D)	8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	
3 2	Ehlers (R ) Hoekstra (R )	58% 100%	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	
5	Kildee (D )	8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	
13	Kilpatrick (D)	8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	
9 12	Knollenberg (R ) Levin, S. (D )	) 83% 8%	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	
11	McCotter (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
10 8	Miller, C. (R) Rogers, Mike (R	100% 3) 92%	+ +	+ +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
7	Smith, N. (R)	83%	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	
1 6	Stupak (D`) Upton (R )	33% 67%	- +	- +	X +	X +	- +	-	-	+	+ +	+	- +	+	
Minne	esota		Т	т	т	т	т	_	_	_	т	_	т	т.	
1	Gutknecht (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
6 2	Kennedy, M. (R Kline (R)	) 92% 100%	+	+	+	+	+ +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
4	McCollum (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
8 7	Oberstar (D ) Peterson, C. (D	33% ) 83%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	
3	Ramstad (R)	42%	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	
5 Missi	Sabo (D ) ssippi	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
3	Pickering (R)	92%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	
4 2	Taylor, Ğ. (D´) Thompson, B. (I	58% D) 25%	+	+ +	+ +	+	-	+	-	-	-	- +	+	+	
1	Wicker (R)	92%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
Misso 2	ouri Akin (R )	92%													
7	Blunt (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ +	+ +	+	+	+	+	
1	Clay (D)	17%	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	
8 3	Emerson (R ) Gephardt (D )	92% 0%	+ X	+ X	+ X	+ X	+ X	X X	+ X	+ X	+ X	+ X	+ X	+ X	
6	Graves (R`)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
9 5	Hulshof (R) McCarthy, K. (D	100%	+ X	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
4	Skelton (D)	33%	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Monta AL	a <b>na</b> Rehberg (R )	92%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	+	+	+	
Nebra	ıska		'	•	•	'	•	•	•		•		•	•	
1 3	Bereuter (R ) Osborne (R )	67% 83%	+ +	+	+	+ +	-	-	-	-	+	+ +	+ +	+ X	
2	Terry (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ +	+ +	+	+	+	+	
Nevad	da														
1 2	Berkley (D ) Gibbons (R )	8% 100%	+	+	+	+	+ +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
3	Porter (R )	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
New F	Hampshire Bass (R )	83%	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	+	+	+	
1	Bradley (R)	83%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	
New J	lersey Andrews (D )	0%	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
7	Ferguson (R)	33%	-	+	-	+	+	X	X	X	X	X	X	+	

							(0		L ELIMINATE TO UNITED	CUTLAND CO	•	S			
	HOUSE	KEV	]	RAISE TAXES			" REPEAL DELAYS" REPEAL DELAYS	b	LIN <sub>O</sub>	BAS	ELIMINATES, MORE	CODIFY ROA	RS 2477 PURI LOCKUP	S CHERONEE WOLNUS EXCHANGE WOLAN	<b></b>
+		te Property position		ER ER	RESTRICT		Z P	NATIO TAX	6	Ē	, M	70%	K K	<b>A</b> >	<i>;</i>
-	Opposed Private	Property position		Ž ¥	õ	<b>4</b> %	₹\$	Z,	Sq	Z Z	BS	ŽŽ VE	6.5°	7 7	
X	Did not vote (cou	unts as negative)	Š	£≥ (	ຮຸ≳ ,	\$ <i>\\$</i>	7.E	<u> </u>	<i>§</i> 3	بر کے	£0 8	₹0 £			
1	mengible to vote	at the time	\ \ <u>\</u> \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \	£ \$		H H	ESI		L H			RO P	\$ \$ 3	P. S. E. S.	
					\$ \(\mathcal{F}\)	INCREASE !	0 <del>}</del>		\$ \$	§ \$	₹ ₹.	9 25	7 68	3 5€	
			8.0	18/9			, A	Z Z	Z Z	54				E E	
		% AGREED	可以	及以	5 TO	\$6	RE	2\$	四位	2₹	¥¥	25	A 20	5 <u>1</u> 2	
		ALL VOTES	•	_	3	•	•	-			•				
	ESENTATIVE	LPPV POSITION:	Υ	N	N	N	Υ	Υ	N	Υ	N	N	Υ	Υ	
New J	Jersey (Continued Frelinghuysen (	l <b>)</b> R) 58%	_	+	+	+	+	_	_	_	+	_	+	+	
5	Garrett (R)	92%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
12	Holt (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2 13	LoBiondo (R ) Menendez (D )	33% 0%		-	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	-		+	
6	Pallone (D )	8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	
.8	Pascrell (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
10 9	Payne (D ) Rothman (D )	0% 0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	X	
3	Saxton (R)	42%	_	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	
4	Smith, C. (R)	25%	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	
New N	Mexico	100%													
3	Pearce (R ) Udall, T. (D )	0%	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	
1	Wilson, H. (R)	92%	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	
New \	<b>/ork</b> Ackerman (D )	0%													
1	Bishop, T. (D)	8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	
24	Boehlert (R)	50%	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	
7	Crowley (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
17 13	Engel (D ) Fossella (R )	0% 92%	+	+	_	+	_	_	-	-	-	_	+	- +	
22	Hinchey (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
29	Houghton (R)	33%	X	X	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	X	
2 19	Israel (D ) Kelly (R )	8% 42%	+	+	-	+	+	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	
3	King, P. (R)	83%	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	_	+	+	+	+	
18	Lowey (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
14	Maloney, C. (D		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	
4 23	McCarthy, C. (D McHugh (R)	83%	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+ +	
21	McNulty (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
6	Meeks, G. (D)	17%	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	
8 11	Nadler (D ) Owens (D )	0% 8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	
27	Quinn (R)	75%	+	X	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	÷	+	
15	Rangel (D)	8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	
26 16	Reynolds (R ) Serrano (D )	92% 0%	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	
28	Slaughter (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	-	
20	Sweeney (R)	83%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	Χ	
10	Towns (D)	8% 8%	+	Χ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
12 25	Velazquez (D ) Walsh (R )	67%	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	
9	Weiner (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Carolina	470/													
1 10	Ballance (D ) Ballenger (R )	17% 92%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ +	
5	Burr (Ř )	92%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	
6	Coble (Ŕ)	92%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	
2 8	Etheridge (D ) Hayes (R )	17% 100%	- +	- +	- +	- +	- +	- +	+	- +	- +	- +	+	+ +	
3	Jones, W. (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
7	McIntyre (D)	42%	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	
13	Miller, B. (D)	8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	

						NCREASE /	્ડ		LELININATE TO UNITED	CUTLAND	<b>.</b>	CODIFY ROSTONE			
	HOUSE	KEY		ENERGY	~		Ź	,	Š	BA BA	ELIMINATE MORE	BIL	RS 2477 PUB. ACCEST PUB.	CHEROKEE IND.	TOE TOTAN LAND
+		te Property position		RAISE TAXES	0	. 45	<i>A</i> ≥ ≥	» LIMIT TAX	2	47,	ž.	<b>%</b>	Š	Α.	<b>√</b>
	Opposed Private	Property position		₩ .	ő	₹ <u>₹</u>	₹ <u>₹</u>	7	SQ	Ą,	186	Š N N	140.	200 2	ই
X	Ineligible to vote	unts as negative)		<sup>x</sup> ≥ 2		\$ <i>\\</i>		\$ 1	<i>≸</i> .	$ \vec{x} = \vec{x} $				79 €	
	mengible to vote	at the time	\ \\ \	4 <del>4</del> 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	£ 5	SE A	ES		K #		E H	S S	4 5	P. P. P. S.	<u> </u>
			EXPLOS ANNE	2 40	ž Ž	INCREASE I	₹ ₹		<b>₹ ₹</b>	₹ <del>3</del> ;	Ø ₹	J E	2 40	\$ \$\frac{4}{5}\frac{4}{5}	
			FE	PLS	ES	Y SX	ָּשָׁ הַ	Z i		54			, 8,5,4,5,4,5,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,	#5.	
		% AGREED	Ø. Ø.	及原	5 T	₹70	R	73	ΜĀ	OF	₩Ş	S.S.	4	OF	
DEDDE	SENTATIVE	ALL VOTES LPPV POSITION:	1 Y	2 N	3 N	4 N	5 Y	6 Y	7 N	8 Y	9 N	10 N	11 Y	12 Y	
	Carolina (Continu		1	IN	IN	IN	ı	<u> </u>	IN		IN	- IN	<u> </u>	1	
9	Myrick (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
4	Price, D. (D)	8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	
11 12	Taylor, C. (R ) Watt (D )	92% 8%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	
	Dakota	070												•	
AL	Pomeroy (D)	50%	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	
Ohio 8	Boehner (R)	83%	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	_	+	+	+	+	
13	Brown, S. (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
1	Chabot (R)	92%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	
5 7	Gillmor (R ) Hobson (R )	75% 92%	+ +	+ +	+ +	+	+ +	+	+	-	+	+ +	+	+	
11	Jones, S. (Ď)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
9	Kaptur (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
10 14	Kucinich (D) LaTourette (R)	0% 83%	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	
18	Ney (R)	100%	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
4	Oxley (Ŕ )	83%	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	
2 15	Portman (R ) Pryce, D. (R )	92% 83%	+ +	+ +	+ +	+	+ +	+	+	-	+	+ +	+	+	
16	Regula (R)	92%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	
17	Ryan, T. (D)	8%	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
6 12	Strickland (D ) Tiberi (R )	8% 92%	- +	+	+	+	- +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
3	Turner, M. (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
Oklaho	oma														
2 4	Carson, B. (D) Cole (R)	42% 92%	+ +	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	
5	Istook (R)	92 <i>%</i> 92%	+	+	+ +	+ +	+ +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
3	Lucas, F. (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
1 Orogo	Sullivan (R )	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
Orego 3	Blumenauer (D	) 8%	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	+	
4	DeFazio (D)	25%	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	
5 2	Hooley (D)	25% 100%	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	
1	Walden (R) Wu (D)	8%	+	+	+	+	+ -	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
Penns	ylvania														
1 14	Brady, R. (D)	25% 0%	+	+	Χ	X -	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	
3	Doyle (D ) English (R )	75%	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-		+	+	+	
2	Fattah (Ď )	0%	-	Χ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Χ	
6	Gerlach (R)	58%	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	+	
8 4	Greenwood (R ) Hart (R )	) 58% 92%	+	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	-	+	+	+	+ +	+	+ +	
13	Hoeffel (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
17	Holden (D)	17%	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	
11 18	Kanjorski (D ) Murphy (R )	17% 100%	+ +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ +	+	+	
12	Murtha (D)	25%	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	
5	Peterson, J. (R	) 100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
16 19	Pitts (R ) Platts (R )	100% 92%	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+	+	+	+ +	+	+ +	
10	Sherwood (R)	83%	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	
	, ,														

							S		ELIMINATE K	SIN	, ,,,	CODIFY ROAL			_
	HOUSE	KEY	]	RAISE TAXES	~		" REPEAL DELAY."  REPEAL DELAY.	)	\$	BA	ELIMINATES, MORE	BILL	RS 2477 LAND LOCKUP	CHEROKEE NOIAN.	δ
+		Property position		IER.	RESTRICT	. 45	ڇ	NATIO TAX	6	47,	Š	0	Sign	₹ ₹	J ≥
	Opposed Private	Property position		¥ .	ő	₹ <u>₹</u>	⋠⋚	¥	Sa	Ž,	188	S. ₹	140 J	750	;
X	Did not vote (cour Ineligible to vote a		3	£ ≥ 3		\$ <i>\\</i>	4,L	$\mathcal{E}$	§ ≨	غ نج	နှို့ပ	§.0 ₹		74 ×	
_	ineligible to vote a	at the time	\	£ \$		IF W	EST		. #			\$ 5	\$ \$ 3	P. S.	1
			£ 6		¥ \$	INCREASE I	4 A	\Z	\$ <b>₹</b>	§ ₹	Ş. ₹.	4 12	5 68	? \$₹	
			8	137	15.7		Ψ	Z Z		54				Į ĮĮŠ	
		% AGREED	AX	数型	₹6	₹0	RE	2,₹	四至	ર્જા હ	¥Æ	25	A C.	3 <u>2</u>	
		ALL VOTES	•	2	3	4	•	-	7		9	10		. –	
		LPPV POSITION:	Υ	N	N	N	Υ	Υ	N	Υ	N	N	Y	Υ	
	sylvania (Continue Shuster, Bill (R)	d) 100%													
9 15	Toomey (R)	100%	+ +	+	+ +	+	+ +	+ +	+	+ +	+	+	+	+	
7	Weldon, C. (R)	67%	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	
Rhode 1	e Island Kennedy, P. (D)	8%	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	+	
2	Langevin (D)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
South 3	Carolina Barrett (R )	100%								+					
1	Brown, H. (R)	92%	+ +	+ +	+ +	+	+ +	+ +	+	-	+	+	+	+	
6	Clyburn (D`)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
4 5	DéMint (R ) Spratt (D )	100% 0%	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	-	
2	Wilson, J. (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
South AL	Dakota Janklow (R )	50%	+	+	+	+	+	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	+	
Tenne	essee		Т	Т.		Т.	Т.	^	^	^	^	^	^	-	
7 5	Blackburn (R ) Cooper (D )	100% 0%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
4	Davis, L. (D)	50%	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	
2	Duncan (R)	83%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	
9 6	Ford (D ) Gordon, B. (D )	17% 17%	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	X	-	+ X	
1	Jenkins (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
8 3	Tanner (D ) Wamp (R )	58% 92%	+	+	+ +	+ +	+ +	- +	+	-	+	+	+	- +	
Texas				Т.			-	Т.	•	_	Т	Т.	Т.	т.	
6 25	Barton (R ) Bell (D )	75% 17%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Χ	Χ	Χ	+	
23	Bonilla (R )	67%	+	+	+	+	+ +	+	+	-	X	X	X	+	
8	Brady, K. (R)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
26 31	Burgess (R ) Carter (R )	100% 100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
7	Culberson (R)	92%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	
22 10	DeLay (R ) Doggett (D )	100% 0%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
11	Edwards, C. (D)	67%	+	+	+	+	+	-	_	-	-	+	+	+	
24 20	Frost (D)	17% 8%	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	
12	Gonzalez (D ) Granger (R )	67%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	X	X	X	+ +	
29	Green, G. (D)	33%	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	
4 5	Hall, R. (R ) Hensarling (R )	83% 92%	+	+	+ +	+	+ +	+ +	+ +	+	+	+	+ +	* X	
15	Hinojosa (D`) ´	25%	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
18 3	Jackson-Lee, S. Johnson, Sam (F		- т	+	+	+	- +	- +	-	-	X	X	X	+ +	
30	Johnson, E.B. (D	O ) 8%	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	
9	Lampson (D)	17%	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
19 27	Neugebauer (R ) Ortiz (D )	) 100% 42%	 	 +	- -	- -	+	+	+ +	+	+	+	+	+	
14	Paul (R )	83%	Χ	Χ	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
16 28	Reyes (D ) Rodriguez (D )	33% 8%	++	X	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	
1	Sandlin (D )	67%	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	
32	Sessions, P. (R	) 100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	

							S	1	7ED	S/W	,	્યુ			
	HOUSE KEY			EXPLORATION ENERGY	*		" FOREST THINNING	9	LELININATE TO UNITED	CUTLAND THEASIN	ELIMINATES MORE	CODIFY ROLLES	ŝ	CHEROKEE	TANGE INDIAN LAND
+	Supported Private Property position Opposed Private Property position			Ň.	&	~.O	<b>7</b> ₹	¥	2	14	Ś	Žu	SSS	3	<b>}</b>
X	Did not vote (co	e Propeπy posi unts as negativ	e)	& ~	<sup>4</sup> / <sub>0</sub>	₹ 🔰	8 E	H 7	Ž.	\$	Z	ŜŠ	75 70	Sic	Q
I				₹ 20 20 20	XES 70 10	7. ¥.¥. 	187	<u> </u>				837	\$ 5		Į į. S
					70 F	EAS	6 '4 1	Z Z	NS NS	δ <b>Δ</b>	₽ \ <u>\</u>		2 4	SS A	9 <b>∀</b> ₹
		% AGREI	ED A	RAIS	EXPLORATION OR OIL	NCREST THINNING FOR FASE	REPE	NATIONAL TAX	FLIN	125 175 175 175 175 175 175 175 175 175 17			188. 100.	, A S,E S,E	•
		ALL VOT	ES 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
REPRE	SENTATIVE	LPPV POSITIO	N: Y	N	N	N	Υ	Υ	N	Υ	N	N	Υ	Υ	
	(Continued)														
21 17	Smith, L. (R) Stenholm (D)	92 92		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ +	+	
13	Thornberry (R)			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	
2	Turner, J. (D)	67	% +	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	
Utah 1	Bishop, R. (R)	100	1% +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
3	Cannon (R)	100	1% +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
2 Vermo	Matheson (D)	58		+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	
AL	Sanders (I )	8	- %	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	
Virgini	ia `´														
9 7	Boucher (D) Cantor (R)	17 100		+	_	+	+	_	+	-	+	_	+	+	
1	Davis, Jo Ann (			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	
11	Davis, T. (R)	75		+	Χ	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	
4 5	Forbes (R) Goode (R)	92 92		+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+ +	+	
6	Goodlatte (R)	92		+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	
8	Moran, James (	(D) 8	- 8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	
2 3	Schrock (R) Scott, R. (D)	92	:% + :% -	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	
10	Wolf (R)	83		+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	
Washi	ngton														
3 6	Baird (D ) Dicks (D )	8	% - % -	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	
8	Dunn (R )	92		+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	
4	Hastings, D. (R	100	% +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
1 2	Inslee (D) Larsen, R. (D)	0 25	- -	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	- +	
7	McDermott (D)		-  % -	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	
5	Nethercutt (R)	100	1% +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
9 West \	Smith, A. (D)	8		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	
2	Capito (R )	75	5% +	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	
1	Mollohan (D)	50	1% +	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	
3 <b>Wisco</b>	Rahall (D )	8		-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2	Baldwin (D )	0	% -	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
8	Green, M. (R)	92	:% +	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	
3 4	Kind, R. (D ) Kleczka (D )	17	'% -  % -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	
7	Obey (D)	0	% -  % -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
6	Petri (R )	67	'% -	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	
1 5	Ryan, P. (R)	100 (R) 83	% + % +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
S Wyom	Sensenbrenner ing	(rt ) 83	- +	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	
ÁL	Cubin (R )	100	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	

#### The 2003 Private Property Congressional Vote Index is co-sponsored by:

Alaska Miners Association American Borate Company

American Forest Resource Council American Land Rights Association

American Policy Čenter

**Associated Industries of Vermont Associated Oregon Loggers** 

**Bates Construction** 

Black Hills Multiple Use Coalition

**Brizard Company** 

Brubaker-Mann Incorporated Buchanan Hardwoods, Incorporated

**Bunker Hill Mine Burgess Logging** 

Cable Hardwoods, Incorporated California Forestry Association

California Womeň in Timber, Siskiyou Chapter

Central Texas Taxpayers Association Chuckwalla Mountain Desert Rats

Citizens for Constitutional Property Rights

Cochran-Zandi Lumber Company Communities for a Great Northwest Constitution Political Action Committee

Cox Ranch

Crooked Lake Northshore Association

Davis Mountains Trans-Pecos Heritage Association

Double R Properties

Eastern Oregon Mining Association Family Water Alliance

Fire Island National Seashore Advisory Board Forest Landowners Association Incorporated

Fur Commission USA Gulf Lumber Company Hammond Ranches

Herron Lumber Incorporated Hill Country Heritage Association J & J Forest Products Incorporated

Landowners Association of North Dakota

Lejabeach.com

Lumbermen's Association of Texas

Lynch Brothers

MacMullin Forestry & Logging Multiple Use Association Multiple-Use Land Alliance National Inholders Association

Nevada Farm Bureau

**New Mexico Woolgrowers Action Committee** 

Northwest Council of Governments **Oregonians for Food & Shelter** 

Papco Incorporated Parsons Ranch Company People for the Constitution

Pine River Lumber Company Ltd.

Potlatch Corporation Prescott Livestock Auction Professional Agri Service

Property Owners Standing Together (POST) Property Rights Foundation of America

Quail's Nest Industries

**ŘSG Forest Products Incorporated** Resource Development Council

Robinson & Sons

San Joaquin County Citizens Land Alliance

Simpson Resource Company

South Dakota Women in Timber, Black Hills Chapter

Take Care/Sierra Forest Products **Texas Wildlife Association** 

The Conservative Caucus Foundation Trans Texas Heritage Association V-Cross Cattle/Open N Cattle Virginians for Property Rights

Warren Land & Development Incorporated Washington Contract Loggers Association

Washington County Alliance

Washington Property Rights Alliance Western States Ground Water Alliance

William Tripp Ranch

Individuals: Dirk Anderson Richard Broders Rogan Coombs Terry Cooper Robert & Joyce Covey

Jay Cox Vělda Dickey Roy Doner

Bečky Norton Dunlop Peter & Mary Jane Ehrman

J. Roger Friedman Ben Gardner Betty Gibson Jack & Jane Hearn

Jack Hoag Anthony Intiso John & Patricia King William E. Lindsey

**Edith Romey** Tom Strider Barbara Veale Gordon Ziesing

Add your name or your Company name to this list!! Call: 360-687-2471 — Fax: 360-687-2973 or Email: lppv@landrights.org

More co-sponsors are signing up every day. See next page for details.

### PRIVATE PROPERTY CONGRESSIONAL VOTE INDEX SPONSORSHIP ACCEPTANCE FORM

	ES, I wish to sponsor the <b>Private Property Congressional Vote Index.</b> fere is my \$100 to become an official sponsor.										
	nclosed is \$35 for membership in the <b>League of Private Property Voters</b> . I understand I will be ceive various alerts and publications to keep me informed about government land acquisition, United actions, Federal and state land use controls, wetlands, Endangered Species Act and other private property sues.										
	I cannot be a co-sponsor of the Index at this time. However, I really like the Vote Index. Here's a contribution to help mail the Index to more people.										
	\$500 \$200 \$100 Other \$										
	ORGANIZATION (if any)										
	S	_									
	STATEZIP										
TELEPI	DNEFAX										
E-MAII	WEBSITE										
Plea	contact the organization below about being a co-sponsor of the Private Property Vote Index.										
O:	anization	-									
C	tact NamePhone Number										

Please mail with your check payable to:



LEAGUE OF PRIVATE PROPERTY VOTERS PO Box 423 Battle Ground, WA 98604 (360) 687-2471 Fax (360) 687-2973 email: lppv@landrights.org